The Hinsdale Village Board of Trustees regularly scheduled meeting was called to order by President Tom Cauley in Memorial Hall of the Memorial Building on Tuesday, January 19, 2010 at 7:32 p.m.

Present: President Tom Cauley, Trustees J. Kimberley Angelo, Bob Saigh, Laura LaPlaca, Doug Geoga, Cindy Williams and Bob Schultz

Absent: None

Also Present: Village Attorney Ken Florey, Village Manager Dave Cook, Assistant Village Manager/Director of Finance Darrell Langlois, Building Commissioner Robb McGinnis, Police Chief Brad Bloom, Fire Chief Mike Kelly and Deputy Village Clerk Christine Bruton

Also Present: Christine Cuthbert with The Hinsdalean, Amy Deis representing The Doings and Christine Martin with the Tribune

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

President Cauley led those in attendance in the recitation of the Pledge of Allegiance.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Trustee Saigh noted a correction to the Adjournment portion of the minutes. Trustee Geoga moved to approve the minutes of the Regular Meeting of January 5, 2010, as amended. Trustee Saigh seconded the motion.

AYES: Trustees Angelo, Saigh, LaPlaca, Williams, Geoga, Schultz
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

Motion carried.

CITIZENS' PETITIONS

None.
VILLAGE PRESIDENT'S REPORT

President Cauley explained that the budgeting process will be different this year. The Village of Hinsdale is finalizing discussions with Clarendon Hills regarding sharing Fire services and equipment in an effort to reduce mutual budgets. He hopes to have a draft proposal to the Board by February 16th. This proposal will eliminate overlapping expenses and result in a fairly sizeable effect on our budget, and therefore does not want to get too far into the budget process. He noted that this will not affect public safety; the same number of firefighters would be available when needed. Following the February 16th Board of Trustees meeting, a draft budget will be available in time to give the Finance Commission ample opportunity to review the budget at its February 25th meeting. In lieu of the March 1st Administration and Community Affairs meeting, there will be a Committee of the Whole Board meeting to discuss budget issues. Given that we are pushing back the budget schedule and given the financial challenges that we will face in 2010, he intends to use the next four weeks to become personally involved in the budget process. The process will involve meeting with Mr. Cook and Mr. Langlois, as well as each of the department heads to see where we can make budget cuts while continuing to provide basic municipal services. Trustee Geoga and two citizens who are familiar with budgeting will also participate in the meetings.

CONSENT AGENDA

President Cauley read the Consent Agenda as follows:

**Items Recommended by Environment & Public Services Committee**

Item A: Ordinance Authorizing the Sale by Auction of Personal property owned by the Village of Hinsdale

**Items Recommended by Zoning & Public Safety Committee**

Item B: Ordinance Approving a Design Review Permit for Site Plan and Exterior Appearance Plan Modifications at 920 N. York Road

Item C: Ordinance Approving Site Plans and Exterior Appearance Plans for Modifications to a Commercial Building at 48 S. Washington Street

Trustee Williams moved to approve the Consent Agenda, as amended. Trustee Saigh seconded the motion.

**AYES:** Trustees Angelo, Saigh, LaPlaca, Williams, Geoga, Schultz

**NAYS:** None

**ABSTAIN:** None

**ABSENT:** None

Motion carried.
ADMINISTRATION AND COMMUNITY AFFAIRS

Accounts Payable
Trustee Saigh moved Approval and Payment of the Accounts Payable for the period of December 30, 2009 through January 15, 2010 in the aggregate amount of $1,425,488.43 as set forth on the list provided by the Village Treasurer, of which a permanent copy is on file with the Village Clerk. Trustee Angelo seconded the motion.

AYES: Trustees Angelo, Saigh, LaPlaca, Williams, Geoga, Schultz
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

Motion carried.

Award Landscaping Maintenance Contract to Classic Landscaping in the Amount of $90,000.00/per year for a term of three years

President Cauley explained that at the last ACA meeting, this matter was introduced as a one-year contract in the amount of $92,000.00, before the Board tonight is a lower price for a three-year contract. Trustee Schultz suggested a two-year contract in case service drops off, however, Village Manager Cook explained that the proposed contract contains a performance clause that would allow the Village to cancel for cause. It was confirmed that the landscapers costs would most likely increase in the three year period. Trustee Geoga referenced the downtown landscaping contract, and that he is concerned about approving contracts out of the budgeting sequence, but he doesn’t think this is discretionary expense and therefore has no problem approving this contract. Trustee LaPlaca pointed out that it might be beneficial to ask Classic Landscaping to give us a price for the downtown services, as well. Trustee Williams moved to Award the Landscaping Maintenance Contract to Classic Landscaping in the Amount of $90,000.00/per year for a term of three years. Trustee Schultz seconded the motion.

AYES: Trustees Angelo, Saigh, LaPlaca, Williams, Geoga, Schultz
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

Motion carried.
ENVIRONMENT AND PUBLIC SERVICES

No report.

ZONING AND PUBLIC SAFETY

No report.

REPORTS FROM ADVISORY BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

No reports.

STAFF REPORTS

Resolution Adopting Administrative Procedures for Compliance with the Illinois Freedom of Information Act

Village Manager Cook introduced the item explaining that the Illinois General Assembly has passed new Freedom of Information Act requirements. This resolution is the formal Adoption of Administrative Procedures which include the naming of a FOIA officer or officers, quicker turn-around of documents, the elimination of appeals to the Village President and the possible assessment of penalties for non-compliance. Deputy Clerk Bruton added that it is a time-consuming process as is and the majority of requests are of a commercial nature. Trustee Schultz thought it would be helpful to put building permits on line. Mr. Cook explained that is our intent, however, the documents will need to be redacted for privacy and that if a requester wants a hard copy we are obligated to provide it even if the documents are available on the website. Trustee LaPlaca moved to adopt a Resolution Adopting Administrative Procedures for Compliance with the Illinois Freedom of Information Act. Trustee Saigh seconded the motion.

AYES: Trustees Angelo, Saigh, LaPlaca, Williams, Geoga, Schultz
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

Motion carried.

Trustee Saigh commented that there will be a learning curve as the amended FOIA regulations are implemented and hoped that people would be patient with staff as they manage these new requirements with other responsibilities.
CITIZENS' PETITIONS

None.

TRUSTEE COMMENTS

None.

ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business before the Village Board of Trustees and no need for a Closed Session, Trustee Saigh moved to adjourn the meeting of January 19, 2010. Trustee Williams seconded the motion.

AYES: Trustees Angelo, Saigh, LaPlaca, Williams, Geoga, Schultz
NAYS: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

Motion carried.

Meeting adjourned at 7:51 p.m.

ATTEST: ____________________________________
Christine M. Bruton, Deputy Village Clerk
MEMORANDUM

Date: January 29, 2010
To: President and Board of Trustees
From: Chris Bruton, Deputy Clerk
RE: Village Board Agenda for February 1, 2010

Please note that a copy of all materials listed under the Consent Agenda for Administration & Community Affairs can be found in your ACA packet for the meeting also held on February 1st.

Thank you.

cc: Village Attorney
    Department Heads
REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA SECTION NUMBER</th>
<th>ZPS Consent Agenda</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>Alley Vacation Request – 625 S. Monroe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPROVAL</td>
<td>Dan Deeter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Village Engineer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ATTACHED please find an ordinance vacating a portion of a public alley adjacent to 625 S. Monroe Street. Also included is the appraisal report establishing a fair market value for the vacated property. A plat of vacation will be prepared upon approval of this request for recording at DuPage County. The alley has previously had vacations approved and is therefore not a through alley right-of-way.

The appraisal established the value of the property at approximately $20 per square foot. The property to be vacated contains an area of 425 square feet. The total appraised value of the property is $8,500.

MOTION: To Recommend Adoption of an Ordinance Vacating the West Half of Public Alley Right-of-Way Adjacent to and East of 625 S. Monroe Street at a purchase price of $8,500.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMMITTEE ACTION:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At its January 25, 2010 meeting, the ZPS unanimously moved to recommend approval of the above motion.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BOARD ACTION:
VILLAGE OF HINSDALE

ORDINANCE NO. ____________

AN ORDINANCE AUTHORIZING VACATING THE WEST HALF OF PUBLIC ALLEY RIGHT-OF-WAY ADJACENT TO AND EAST OF 625 S. MONROE STREET IN THE VILLAGE OF HINSDALE, DUPAGE AND COOK COUNTIES, ILLINOIS

WHEREAS, the Village of Hinsdale, DuPage and Cook Counties, Illinois (the “Village”) is a duly authorized and existing municipal corporation created under the provisions of the laws of the State of Illinois and under the provisions of the Illinois Municipal Code, as from time to time supplemented and amended; and

WHEREAS, the property owner of 625 S. Monroe Street, Hinsdale, Illinois, which property is identified by permanent index number (“P.I.N.”) 09-11-406-006, has requested that a certain portion of an alley, as more fully described below, be vacated in order to be developed and maintained by said property owner; and

WHEREAS, Section 11-91-1 of the Illinois Municipal Code, 65 ILCS 5/11-91-1 et seq. (2007) (the “Code”), authorizes the Village to determine whether or not the public interest is served by vacating an alley, or part thereof, within its corporate boundaries, by an ordinance duly adopted by the affirmative vote of three-fourths of the trustees then holding office; and

WHEREAS, the Code further provides that upon vacation of an alley, or any part thereof, by the Village, title to the vacated property vest in the then owner or owners of land abutting thereon; and

WHEREAS, the Village President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale (the “Corporate Authorities”) have determined that the relief to the public from the further burden and responsibility of maintaining a certain portion of the alley, as more fully described below, and to return said portion to the tax rolls for the benefit of all taxing bodies is in the public interest.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, DuPage and Cook Counties, State of Illinois, as follows:

Section 1. Recitals Incorporated. The above recitals and findings are incorporated herein and made a part hereof.

Section 2. Vacation of Unimproved Alley. Pursuant to the terms of this Ordinance, the Village shall vacate an 8.5’ x 50’ portion of the unimproved alley situated east of and adjoining 625 S. Monroe Street, Hinsdale, Illinois (the “Subject Property”), legally described, as follows:

Lots 84 and 85 in Block 17 in the Resubdivision of Blocks 9 -to 20, Inclusive in Stough's Second Addition to Hinsdale, in the Southeast 1/4 of Section 11, Township 38 North, Range 11, East of the Third Principal Meridian, in Dupage County, Illinois.

P.I.N 0911-413-002

Section 3. Plat of Vacation Approved. The Plat of Vacation, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof, is approved.
Section 4. Conditions of Vacation. The Subject Property is vacated subject to any existing easement of public record for any public or private utility for the maintenance, renewal and construction or reconstruction of public and private utilities and that the Village reserves unto itself as a corporate municipality and to any public utility, its successors or assigns, the right to maintain and relocate any respective facilities in, under, across and along those parts of the public alley as herein vacated, with the right of access thereto at all times for any and all such purposes as may be reasonably required for the construction, maintenance and efficient operation of said equipment pursuant to any existing easement of public record.

Section 5. Payment of Consideration and Title to Vacated Property. Upon the vacation of the Subject Property, title thereto shall be acquired by and vest to the property owner of 625 S. Monroe, Hinsdale, Illinois upon the payment of eight thousand five hundred dollars ($8,500.00) to the Village by the property owner as fair market value for the Subject Property. The vacation of the Subject Property, and the recording of the Plat of Vacation, shall not be effective until said payment is received pursuant to Section 11-91-1 of the Code, 65 ILCS 5/11-91-1.

Section 6. Execution of Documents. The Village President, Village Clerk and all other officials are hereby authorized to take any and all action and execute any and all documents required to implement said vacation and record this Ordinance and the Plat of Vacation with the applicable county recorder of deeds upon the payment of the consideration set forth in Section 5 of this Ordinance.

Section 7. Severability and Repeal of Inconsistent Ordinances. If any section, paragraph, clause or provision of this Ordinance shall be held invalid, the invalidity thereof shall not affect any of the other provisions of this Ordinance. All ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 8. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form in the manner provided by law.

PASSED this _________ day of ____________, 2010

AYES:

NAYES:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this _________ day of __________________, 2010

__________________________________________
Thomas K. Cauley, Jr., Village President

________________________
Christine M. Bruton, Deputy Village Clerk
We can be reached at [REDACTED]

Kevin and Elise Baskey

Regard,

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Survey which is attached

We have also completed a survey which is attached with this. We have also completed a survey which is attached with this. We have also completed a

Biggar Yard for our home. We authorize Kerry Warren to start the process and

pin # 09-1-406-006). We would like to buy the easement in order to have a

We would like to purchase the easement behind the property at 625 S Monroe.

Dear Daniel,

Re: Purchase of land behind 625 S Monroe

From: Kevin and Elise Baskey

To: Daniel Deeter
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sixth Street</th>
<th>800</th>
<th>801</th>
<th>802</th>
<th>803</th>
<th>804</th>
<th>805</th>
<th>901</th>
<th>902</th>
<th>903</th>
<th>904</th>
<th>905</th>
<th>906</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Seventh Street</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eighth Street</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>810</td>
<td>811</td>
<td>812</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>814</td>
<td>815</td>
<td>816</td>
<td>817</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ninth Street</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>906</td>
<td>907</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>911</td>
<td>912</td>
<td>913</td>
<td>914</td>
<td>915</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monroe Street</th>
<th>700</th>
<th>701</th>
<th>702</th>
<th>703</th>
<th>704</th>
<th>705</th>
<th>706</th>
<th>707</th>
<th>708</th>
<th>709</th>
<th>710</th>
<th>711</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Huron Street</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>722</td>
<td>723</td>
<td>724</td>
<td>725</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>729</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SUMMARY APPRAISAL REPORT

AN 8.5' X 50' PORTION OF THE UNIMPROVED ALLEY SITUATED EAST AND ADJOINING 625 SOUTH MONROE STREET HINSDALE, ILLINOIS

Prepared For
Mr. Dan Deeter
Village of Hinsdale
19 East Chicago Avenue
Hinsdale, Illinois 60521

Prepared By
C.A. Benson & Associates, Inc.
419 North La Grange Road
La Grange Park, Illinois 60526
January 18, 2010

Mr. Dan Deeter
Village of Hinsdale
19 East Chicago Avenue
Hinsdale, IL 60521

Re: Summary Appraisal of an 8.5’ x 50’ portion of unimproved alley situated east and adjoining 625 South Monroe Street, Hinsdale, Illinois

Dear Mr. Deeter:

In accordance with your request, I have inspected the above captioned property and analyzed all pertinent factors relative to it in order to estimate its “as is” market value of the fee simple interest. The property was inspected on January 7, 2010, which is the effective date of this valuation.

The property consists of an 8.5’ by 50’ portion of unimproved alley located east and adjoining 625 South Monroe Street, Hinsdale, Illinois. It contains 425 square feet and is zoned R-4, Single-Family Residential.

Based on this analysis, it is my opinion that the “as is” Market Value of the subject property as of January 7, 2010 was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EIGHT THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>($8,500)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is a Summary Appraisal Report, which is intended to comply with the reporting requirements set forth under Standards Rule 2-2(b) of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice for a Summary Appraisal Report. As such, it presents only summary discussions of the data, reasoning and analyses that were used in the appraisal process to develop the appraiser’s opinion of value. Supporting documentation concerning the data, reasoning and analyses is retained in the appraiser’s file. The depth of discussion contained in this report is specific to the needs of the client and for the intended use stated below. The appraiser is not responsible for unauthorized use of this report.
C.A. Benson & Associates, Inc.

PURPOSE OF THE APPRAISAL:

The purpose of this appraisal is to provide my best estimate of the market value of the subject real property as of the effective date. Market Value is defined by the federal financial institutions regulatory agencies as follows:

Market Value means the most probable price which a property should bring in a competitive and open market under all conditions requisite to a fair sale, the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably, and assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus. Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

1. Buyer and seller are typically motivated;
2. Both parties are well informed or well advised, and acting in what they consider their own best interests;
3. A reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
4. Payment is made in terms of cash in U.S. dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
5. The price represents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

(Source: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency under 12 CFR, Part 34, Subpart C-Appraisals, 34.42 Definitions (f))

INTENDED USE: The function of this appraisal is to assist the Village of Hinsdale for a possible sale of the subject.

INTENDED USER: The intended user of this appraisal report is the client.

INTEREST VALUED: Fee simple

DATE OF INSPECTION: January 7, 2010

EFFECTIVE DATE OF VALUE: January 7, 2010

DATE OF REPORT: January 18, 2010

APPRAISAL DEVELOPMENT AND REPORTING PROCESS: In preparing this appraisal, I have

• Inspected the subject property;
• Gathered and confirmed information on comparable sales;
• Applied the Sales Comparison Approach to Value to arrive at an indicated value.

This Summary Appraisal Report is a brief recapitulation of my data, analyses and conclusions. Supporting documentation is retained in my file.

COMPETENCY OF THE APPRAISER: The appraiser has the appropriate knowledge and experience to complete this assignment competently as illustrated by the Qualifications of the Appraiser statement contained within this report.
DESCRIPTION OF REAL ESTATE APPRAISED:

The subject property is situated in the Village of Hinsdale, approximately 20-miles southwest of the City of Chicago’s Central Business District. Hinsdale is bordered by Oak Brook to the north, Burr Ridge to the south, Western Springs to the east and Clarendon Hills to the west.

Hinsdale is a residential community that has a population of 18,439 residents as of July 2008 and an average family income of $118,637 (2008). The 2009 median value of a single-family residence was $705,000, which represents a 23% decline from the 2008 median sale price of $921,000. This reflects a decline in residential values which is most pronounced in the mid to upper end of the market.

Hinsdale is a substantially built-up community and is one of the communities in the Southern DuPage County suburbs, which include Burr Ridge, Clarendon Hills, Darien, Downers Grove, Glen Ellyn, Lisle, Naperville, Oak Brook, Oakbrook Terrace, Warrenville, Westmont, Wheaton, Willowbrook, Winfield and Woodridge. The majority of these are mid-aged to older established communities that have reached maturity. Redevelopment of new single-family residences is occurring in Hinsdale, Clarendon Hills and Downers Grove on sites where older residences have been demolished. The overall composition of the area provides most amenities such as adequate employee base, established commercial/residential areas and municipal services, educational facilities, etc. The area hospitals include Good Samaritan, La Grange Community and Hinsdale. Hinsdale has a thriving central business district and the Oak Brook Center and Yorktown Center regional shopping malls are in nearby driving distance.

The major transportation systems include the North-South Tollway (I-355), the Tri-State Tollway (I-294) and the East-West Tollway (I-88). In addition, the Metra commuter trains and Pace buses service Hinsdale.

More specifically, the subject property is located in the southwest section of Hinsdale. The immediate area is approximately 98% built-up with single-family residences of varying architectural designs in the range of 0 to 80+ years. The price range varies from $275,000 for smaller existing single-family residences to in excess of $1,500,000 for new custom two story residences. Many of the older, smaller residences have been torn down and redeveloped with large custom single-family residences. The immediate occupancy of the neighborhood consists of professionals, executives and white-collar workers. Maintenance level is good and there were no adverse conditions noted on the date of inspection.

Overall, the community of Hinsdale and the subject neighborhood are stable without any land changes anticipated with the exception of residential development of new single residents on lots that were previously improved with older homes. The strengths of the community include the viable central business district, the good community services, ample shopping, proximity to major transportation systems and the strong demand or residential, retail and office properties.

The subject property is the west 8.5’ of a 17’ wide unimproved alley. It has a width of 50’, which is equal to the width of the adjoining residence located at 625 South Monroe Street. It is rectangular in shape and has a calculated area of 425 square feet. It is in an R-4, Single Family Residence District which requires a minimum lot area of 10,000 square feet and 70 or 80 feet of street frontage depending on whether the site is an interior or corner parcel. The subject property is not buildable and would be of use only to the adjoining property owner. It is in a zone “X” area of minimal flooding activity per FEMA Map #17043C0903H, dated December 16, 2004.
ESTIMATE OF EXPOSURE TIME:

The subject property is an 8.5' x 50' section of unimproved alley, which can only be sold to the adjoining property owner. As such, estimating a marketing time is futile as a potential sale is reliant on the adjoining property owner's willingness to buy the property. The typical marketing time for area buildable sites and single-family residences is 3 to 9 months, which reflects the market slowdown that started in 2006.

PERMANENT INDEX NUMBER:

The subject is a section of unimproved alley, which has no permanent index number.

TOTAL 2008 ASSESSED VALUE: Not assessed

THREE-YEAR PROPERTY HISTORY:

According to FIRREA and the Uniform Standards of Professional Practice of the Appraisal Foundation, I am required to report and analyze any sale transactions involving the subject property during the past three years or any listing or pending sale transaction involving the subject property.

The subject is part of an unimproved alley under ownership by the Village of Hinsdale. This appraisal will be used as an estimate of market value for a possible sale of the property.

HIGHEST AND BEST USE ANALYSIS:

The subject consists of an 8.5' x 50', rectangular shaped portion of unimproved alley. It cannot be developed by itself and has value only to the adjoining property owner. It is my opinion that the highest and best use of the subject property is in conjunction with the adjoining residential property.

SUMMARY OF ANALYSIS AND VALUATION:

As indicated, the Sales Comparison Approach to Value will only be used.

SALES COMPARISON APPROACH TO VALUE AS IMPROVED:

Definition: A set of procedures in which a value indication is derived by comparing the property being appraised to similar properties that have been sold recently, then applying appropriate units of comparison, and making adjustments to the sale prices of the comparables based on the elements of comparison.*

SALES COMPARISON APPROACH TO VALUE - Continued

In order to estimate the market value of the subject property by the Sales Comparison Approach, I have analyzed the following sales. It was necessary to use 2006 sales as there were insufficient recent sales to do a paired sales analysis.

1. *923 South Stough Street, Hinsdale* was reported sold in July 2009 for $277,500. This is a 50 foot by 125 foot parcel zoned R-4, containing 6,250 square feet. The sales price was equal to $44.40 per square foot.

2. *625 South Stough Street, Hinsdale* was reported sold in February 2009 for $315,000. This is a 59 foot by 133.5 foot parcel zoned R-4, containing 7,876 square feet. The sales price was equal to $39.99 per square foot.

3. *809 South Thurlow Street, Hinsdale* was reported sold in December 2009 for $340,000. This is a 75 foot by 125 foot parcel zoned R-4, containing 9,375 square feet. The sale price was equal to $36.27 per square foot.

4. *746 South Thurlow Street, Hinsdale* was reported sold in September 2009 for $410,000. This is a 97 foot by 125 foot parcel zoned R-4, containing 12,125 square feet. The sale price was equal to $33.81 per square foot.

**Commentary**

The above sales were all improved with older smaller single-family residences and the sale prices were reflective of land value.

Comparable 2 has 1,626 square feet of additional site area versus Comparable 1. It sold for $37,500 more than Comparable 1, indicating $23.06 per square foot of additional site area.

Comparable 4 has 2,750 square feet of additional site area versus Comparable 3. It sold for $70,000 more than Comparable 3, indicating $25.45 per square foot for the additional site area.

The subject consists of 425 square feet of unimproved alley adjoining the rear of the residence located at 625 South Monroe Street. Comparison 1 is of a mixture of rear yard and side yard area. It was adjusted downward as it includes more valuable side yard area. Comparison 2 is of superior side yard area and a large downward adjustment was required.
Based on the above comparisons, it is my opinion that $20.00 per square foot is indicated for the subject property.

425 square feet @ $20.00 per square foot = $8,500

**INDICATED VALUE BY THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH:** $8,500

**COMMENT AND FINAL VALUE CONCLUSION:**

Based on the sales data analyzed in this report, it is my opinion that the "as is" fee simple market value of the subject property as of January 7, 2010 was

| EIGHT THOUSAND FIVE HUNDRED DOLLARS | ($8,500) |

Respectfully submitted,

**C.A. BENSON & ASSOCIATES, INC.**

[Signature]

Charles A. Benson, Jr., SRA
Illinois State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
License #553.000357 (Exp. 9/30/11)

This report has been signed with a secured digital signature, which is in compliance with the reporting requirements set forth by USPAP.
ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITING CONDITIONS

1. This is a Summary Appraisal Report, which is intended to comply with the reporting requirements set forth under Standard Rule 2-2(b) of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice for a Summary Appraisal Report. As such, it might not include full discussions of the data, reasoning, and analyses that were used in the appraisal process to develop the appraiser’s opinion of value. Supporting documentation concerning the data, reasoning and analyses is retained in the appraiser’s file. The information contained in this report is specific to the needs of the client and for the intended use stated in this report. The appraiser is not responsible for unauthorized use of this report.

2. No responsibility is assumed for legal or title considerations. Title to the property is assumed to be good and marketable unless otherwise stated in this report.

3. The property is appraised free and clear of any or all liens and encumbrances unless otherwise stated in this report.

4. Responsible ownership and competent property management are assumed unless otherwise stated in this report.

5. The information furnished by others is believed to be reliable. However, no warranty is given for its accuracy.

6. All engineering is assumed to be correct. Any plot plans and illustrative material in this report are included only to assist the reader in visualizing the property.

7. It is assumed that there are no hidden or unapparent conditions of the property, subsoil or structures that render it more or less valuable. No responsibility is assumed for such conditions or for arranging for engineering studies that may be required to discover them.

8. It is assumed that there is full compliance with all applicable federal, state and local environmental regulations and laws unless otherwise stated in this report.

9. It is assumed that all applicable zoning and use regulations and restrictions have been complied with, unless a non-conformity has been stated, defined and considered in this appraisal report.

10. It is assumed that all required licenses, certificates of occupancy or other legislative or administrative authority from any local, state or national governmental or private entity or organization have been or can be obtained or renewed for any use on which the value estimates contained in this report are based.

11. Any sketch in this report may show approximate dimensions and is included to assist the reader in visualizing the property. Maps and exhibits found in this report are provided for reader reference purposes only. No guarantee as to accuracy is expressed or implied unless otherwise stated in this report. No survey has been made for the purpose of this report.
12. It is assumed that the utilization of the land and improvements is within the boundaries or property lines of the property described and that there is no encroachment or trespass unless otherwise stated in this report.

13. The appraiser is not qualified to detect hazardous waste and/or toxic materials. Any comment by the appraiser that might suggest the possibility of the presence of such substances should not be taken as confirmation of the presence of hazardous waste and/or toxic materials. Such determination would require investigation by a qualified expert in the field of environmental assessment. The presence of substances such as asbestos, urea-formaldehyde foam insulation, or other potentially hazardous materials may affect the value of the property. The appraiser’s value estimate is predicated on the assumption that there is no such material on or in the property that would cause a loss in value unless otherwise stated in this report. No responsibility is assumed for any environmental conditions or for any expertise or engineering knowledge required to discover them. The appraiser’s descriptions and resulting comments are the result of the routine observations made during the appraisal process.

14. Unless otherwise stated in this report, the subject property is appraised without a specific compliance survey having been conducted to determine if the property is or is not in conformance with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act. The presence of architectural and communications barriers that are structural in nature that would restrict access by disabled individuals may adversely affect the property’s value, marketability or utility.

15. Any proposed improvements are assumed to be completed in a good workmanlike manner in accordance with the submitted plans and specifications.

16. The distribution, if any, of the total valuation in this report between land and improvements applies only under the stated program of utilization. The separate allocations for land and buildings must not be used in conjunction with any other appraisal and are invalid if so used.

17. Possession of this report, or a copy thereof, does not carry with it the right of publication. It may not be used for any purpose by any person other than the party to whom it is addressed without the written consent of the appraiser, and in any event, only with proper written qualification and only in its entirety.

18. Neither all nor any part of the contents of this report (especially any conclusions as to value, the identity of the appraiser, or the firm with which the appraiser is connected) shall be disseminated to the public through advertising, public relations, news sales, or other media without prior written consent and approval of the appraiser.
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:...

- the statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct.

- the reported analyses, opinion, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions, are my personal, unbiased professional analyses, opinions and conclusions.

- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report, and I have no personal interest or bias with respect to the parties involved.

- my compensation is not contingent on the reporting of a pre-determined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value estimate, the attainment of a stipulated result or the occurrence of a subsequent event.

- the appraisal assignment was not based on a requested minimum valuation, a specific valuation or the approval of a loan.

- my analyses, opinion and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared in conformity with the requirements of the Code of Professional Ethics and the Standards of Professional Practice of the Appraisal Institute.

- the use of this report is subject to the requirements of the Appraisal Institute relating to review by its duly authorized representatives.

- as of the date of this report, I have completed the requirements of the continuing education program of the Appraisal Institute.

- I have made a personal inspection of the property that is the subject of this report.

- no one provided significant professional assistance to the person signing this report.

[Signature]

Charles A. Benson, Jr., SRA
Illinois State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser
License #533.000387 (Exp. 9/30/11)
C.A. Benson & Associates, Inc.

QUALIFICATIONS OF CHARLES A. BENSON, JR.

EDUCATION

University of Wisconsin, Madison, B.B.A., 1974
Majored in Real Estate and Urban Land Economics

APPRaisal COURSES SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETED

A.I.R.E.A. Course VIII (1978)
Standards of Professional Practice - Parts A & B, Appraisal Institute 1998
USPAP Update - 2007

SEMINARS

Residential Design and Functional Utility; Subdivision Analysis; Rates, Ratios & Reasonableness;
Valuation Under Federal Lending Regulations; Appraisal of Retail Properties; Industrial Valuation;
Conditions of the Chicago Real Estate Market, 2007; Fair Lending and the Appraiser: Valuation of
Detrimental Conditions in Real Estate; Partial Interest Valuation - Undivided; Forecasting Revenue; Illinois

EXPERIENCE

Actively engaged in the real estate appraisal business since 1975; has made appraisal of thousands of
properties of various types including single family residences, apartment buildings, commercial, industrial,
special use properties and vacant land.

Clients

Appraisal clients include: Inland Bank, American Metro Bank, Banco Popular, Midwest Bank, National
City Bank, First National Bank of LaGrange, Highland Community Bank, Cathay Bank, Pacific Global
Bank, Suburban Bank & Trust, United Trust Bank, The University of Chicago, attorneys, individuals,
corporations and others.

Qualified as an expert witness for the Circuit Court of Cook County and the Circuit Court of DuPage
County.

AFFILIATIONS

- The Appraisal Institute - Received SRA designation in April 1988.
- Holds State of Illinois Real Estate Broker's License #075-00669.
- Member of the Realtor Association of the West/South Suburban Chicagoland.
- State Certified General Real Estate Appraiser, State of Illinois, License No. 553.000387.
ADDENDUM

Sidwell Map
C.A. Benson & Associates, Inc.

Sidwell Map from geovista.com
The applicant, FMHC, agent for SprintCom/Nextel Westcorp, is proposing to install a new cellular antenna on the roof of the existing Spinning Wheel Office Complex located at 15 Spinning Wheel in the O-3 General Office District. Subsection 6-106D states that personal wireless service antennas as proposed by the applicant are special uses in the O-3 District. According to the applicant the proposal would include installing one new dish on the roof in addition to the existing antennas already located on the rooftop at the subject property.

The property to the south is located in the O-3 General Office District and improved with a 4-story office building. As stated previously, the applicant has identified that additional antennas exist on the roof of 15 Spinning Wheel and they are proposing to add one additional dish to supplement their existing antennas on the roof. The applicant has indicated that the proposed dish would utilize and share all existing wire trays and any mechanical equipment and nothing new is proposed to that extent.

The Federal Telecommunications Act prohibits local governments from considering environmental issues when reviewing antenna locations. Carriers are responsible for being EMF compliant (electromagnetic field levels) with Federal regulations. This property is not listed on the National Register of Historic Places and is not designated as a Local Landmark by the Village of Hinsdale.

Special Use Permit for a Personal wireless services antennas
Subsection 6-106D of the Zoning Code states that personal wireless services antennas are special uses in the O-3 General Office District due to the fact that the proposed antennas and equipment do not satisfy the requirements of subsection 6-103F(3) which requires electronic equipment to be fully enclosed in a structure otherwise permitted on the zoning lot when shielded from view from any point located off the zoning lot on which they are located. Subparagraph 6-106D states that the antennas are limited to omnidirectional or whip antennas and directional or panel antennas when located on a lawfully pre-existing building that will serve as the antenna support structure.

In addition, Subsection 6-110K provides additional special development and use regulations that pertain to personal wireless services antennas. Additional requirements included within this subsection state that the antenna shall be located on lawfully pre-existing buildings or structures wherever possible and that the antenna and support structure shall be of neutral colors that are harmonious with, and that blend with, the natural features, buildings and structures surrounding and that directional or panel antennas shall be of a color that match, and blend with the exterior of the building. The applicant will be painting the antenna to
blend with the building. The applicant has also confirmed that they will comply with all other applicable requirements as set forth in this subsection.

Exterior Appearance/Site Plan Review
The applicant is proposing to install a new antenna/dish on the existing support structure at the existing office building as depicted on the attached plans. The applicant has confirmed that the antenna is no larger than five feet vertically and two feet horizontally, as required per Subsection 6-110K(4)(b). As stated previously, the code requires that every personal wireless antenna be of neutral color or blend with the natural features, buildings and structures surrounding the antenna. Again, the applicant has confirmed that the antenna will be painted to blend with the existing office building.

At the January 13, 2010, Plan Commission meeting the commission reviewed the application submitted by FMHC, agent for SprintCom/Nextel Westcorp, and unanimously recommended approval (8-0, 1 absent) of the request for a Special Use Permit and Site Plan/Exterior Appearance Approval for a wireless antenna.

Review Criteria
In review of the application submitted the Commission must review the following criteria as stated in the Zoning Code:
1. Subsection 11-602E pertaining to Standards for special use permits;
2. Subsection 11-604F pertaining to Standards for site plan disapproval; and
3. Subsection 11-606E pertaining to Standards for building permits (exterior appearance review), which refers to Subsection 11-605E Standards and considerations for design review permit.

Attached are the approved findings and recommendation from the Plan Commission and the ordinance.

MOTION: Move that the Board of Trustees approve an “Ordinance Approving a Special Use Permit, Site Plans and Exterior Appearance Plans for the Installation of a Cellular Antenna on the Property Located at 15 Spinning Wheel Road.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>MANAGER’S APPROVAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COMMITTEE ACTION: On January 25, 2010, the Zoning and Public Safety Committee unanimously moved to recommend approval of the above motion.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BOARD ACTION:
HINSDALE PLAN COMMISSION


DATE OF PLAN COMMISSION REVIEW: January 13, 2010
DATE OF ZONING AND PUBLIC SAFETY REVIEW: January 25, 2010

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

I. FINDINGS

1. FMHC, agent for SprintCom/Nextel Westcorp, submitted an application to the Village of Hinsdale for a special use permit and exterior appearance/site plan review approval, to allow the installation of a cellular antenna on the lawfully existing building located on the property known at 15 Spinning Wheel Road (the “Application”).

2. The property is located within the O-3, General Office District, where cellular antennas are authorized as special uses.

3. The applicant is proposing to install one new cellular antenna on an existing antenna on the lawfully existing building.

4. The applicant will paint all antennas, visible from the ground, to blend with the building.

5. The Plan Commission did not hear any comments from the audience regarding the proposed site improvements.

6. The Plan Commission specifically finds that the Application, as a whole, satisfies the standards in Section 11-602 of the Zoning Code applicable to approval of a special use permit, Subsection 11-604F pertaining to Standards for site plan disapproval and Section 11-606 of the Zoning Code governing exterior appearance review.

II. RECOMMENDATION

The Village of Hinsdale Plan Commission, by a vote of 8 “Ayes,” 0 “Nay,” and 1 “Absent” recommends that the President and Board of Trustees approve the Application, for a special use permit and exterior appearance/site plan review approval for installation of cellular antenna and accessory equipment at 15 Spinning Wheel Road.

THE HINSDALE PLAN COMMISSION

By: [Signature]
Chairman

Dated this 28th day of January, 2010.
VILLAGE OF HINSDALE

ORDINANCE NO._______

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A SPECIAL USE PERMIT, SITE PLANS AND EXTERIOR APPEARANCE PLANS FOR THE INSTALLATION OF A CELLULAR ANTENNA ON THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 15 SPINNING WHEEL ROAD (Plan Commission Case No. A-35-2009)

WHEREAS, FMHC, agent for SprintCom/Nextel Westcorp, filed an application for a special use permit and site plan approval and exterior appearance approval (the “Application”) to allow for the installation of a new cellular antenna on the lawfully existing building located at the property commonly known at 15 Spinning Wheel Road, and legally described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein (the “Subject Property”); and

WHEREAS, the Subject Property is located within the O-3, General Office District, where cellular antennas are authorized as special uses; and

WHEREAS, the Hinsdale Plan Commission conducted a public hearing to consider the Application on January 13, 2010, pursuant to notice thereof properly published in the Suburban Life and, after considering all of the testimony and evidence presented at the public hearing, the Plan Commission recommended approval of the Application, as set forth in the Plan Commission’s Findings and Recommendations for PC Case No. A-35-2009, incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning and Public Safety Committee of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, at a public meeting on January 25, 2010, considered the Application and the recommendation of the Plan Commission and made its recommendation to the Board of Trustees; and

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale have reviewed the recommendation of the Zoning and Public Safety Committee, the Findings and Recommendation of the Plan Commission, and all of the materials, facts, and circumstances related to the Application, and they find that the Application satisfies the standards set forth in Section 11-602 of the Zoning Code relating to special use permits and Sections 11-604 and 11-606 of the Hinsdale Zoning Code governing site plans and exterior appearance plans, subject to the conditions stated in this Ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, DuPage and Cook Counties and State of Illinois, as follows:
Section 1. Recitals. The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this Ordinance as findings of the President and Board of Trustees.

Section 2. Approval of Special Use Permit for Four Cellular Antennas and an Equipment Shelter. The Board of Trustees, acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by the laws of the State of Illinois and the Hinsdale Zoning Code, hereby approves a special use permit for the installation of a cellular antenna on the lawfully existing building located at the property commonly known as 15 Spinning Wheel Road, and legally described in Exhibit A, subject to the conditions stated in Section 4 of this Ordinance.

Section 3. Approval of Site Plans and Exterior Appearance Plans. The Board of Trustees, acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by laws of the State of Illinois and Sections 11-604 and 11-606 of the Hinsdale Zoning Code, approves the site plans and exterior appearance plans attached to and, by this reference, incorporated into this Ordinance as Exhibit B (the “Approved Plans”), subject to the conditions stated in Section 4 of this Ordinance.

Section 4. Conditions. The approvals granted in Sections 2 and 3 of this Ordinance are expressly subject to all of the following conditions:

A. No Authorization of Work. This Ordinance does not authorize the commencement of any work on the Subject Property. Except as otherwise specifically provided in writing in advance by the Village, no work of any kind shall be commenced on the Subject Property until all conditions of this Ordinance precedent to such work have been fulfilled and after all permits, approvals, and other authorizations for such work have been properly applied for, paid for, and granted in accordance with applicable law.

B. Compliance with Codes, Ordinances, and Regulations. Except as specifically set forth in this Ordinance, the provisions of the Hinsdale Municipal Code and the Hinsdale Zoning Code shall apply and govern the development of the Subject Property. All such development shall comply with all Village codes, ordinances, and regulations at all times.

C. Compliance with Approved Plans. All development within the Subject Property shall be undertaken only in strict compliance with the Village-approved planned development plans, including without limitation the Approved Site Plans, the Approved Exterior Appearance Plans, and other Village-approved plans.

D. Building Permits. The Applicant shall submit all required building permit applications and other materials in a timely manner to the appropriate parties, which materials shall be prepared in compliance with all applicable Village codes and ordinances.
E. Applicant to Paint Antennas. The applicant shall paint all antennas, visible from the ground, to blend with the building.

Section 5. Violation of Condition or Code. Any violation of any term or condition stated in this Ordinance or of any applicable code, ordinance, or regulation of the Village shall be grounds for the immediate rescission by the Board of Trustees of the approvals made in this Ordinance.

Section 6. Severability and Repeal of Inconsistent Ordinances. If any section, paragraph, clause or provision of this Ordinance shall be held invalid, the invalidity of such section, paragraph, clause or provision shall not affect any of the other provisions of this Ordinance, and all ordinances, resolutions or orders, or parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this Ordinance are to the extent of such conflict hereby repealed.

Section 7. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form in the manner provided by law.

PASSED this _______ day of _________ 2010.

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this _______ day of _________ 2010.

__________________________________________
Thomas K. Cauley, Jr., Village President

ATTEST:

__________________________________________
Christine M. Bruton, Deputy Village Clerk
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND AGREEMENT BY THE APPLICANT TO THE CONDITIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE:

By: 
Its: 

Date: _______________, 2010
LEGAL DESCRIPTION

THAT PART OF THE SOUTHEAST ¼ OF SECTION 36, TOWNSHIP 39 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS: COMMENCING AT A POINT ON THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 36, A DISTANCE OF 671.49 FEET NORTH OF THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 36, AS MEASURED ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 36, SAID POINT BEING THE EASTERLY MOST SOUTHEAST CORNER OF LOT 1 IN KOPLIN'S ASSESSMENT PLAT RECORDED AS DOCUMENT NUMBER 67-16396; THENCE NORTH 00 DEGREES 00 MINUTES 28 SECONDS WEST ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 36, ALSO BEING THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 500.07 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 32 SECONDS WEST ALONG A LINE 500.07 FEET NORTH OF AS MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES TO AND PARALLEL WITH THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 275.00 FEET TO A LINE 275.00 FEET WEST OF AS MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES TO AND PARALLEL WITH THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 36; THENCE NORTH 00 DEGREES 00 MINUTES 28 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID PARALLEL LINE, A DISTANCE OF 156.58 FEET TO A LINE 431.58 FEET EAST OF AS MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES TO AND PARALLEL WITH THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 36; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 00 MINUTES 28 SECONDS EAST ALONG SAID PARALLEL LINE, A DISTANCE OF 455.98 FEET TO A LINE 59.09 FEET NORTH OF AS MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES TO AND PARALLEL WITH A SOUTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE SOUTH 89 DEGREES 59 MINUTES 32 SECONDS WEST ALONG SAID PARALLEL LINE, A DISTANCE OF 48.00 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1; THENCE NORTH 00 DEGREES 00 MINUTES 28 SECONDS WEST ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1, A DISTANCE OF 786.39 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, THENCE NORTH 79 DEGREES 52 MINUTES 02 SECONDS EAST ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT 1, ALSO BEING PARALLEL WITH THE NORTH RIGHT OF WAY LINE OF OGDEN AVENUE (FORMERLY KNOWN AS OLD PLANK ROAD), A DISTANCE OF 487.17 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID LOT 1, ALSO BEING THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 36; THENCE SOUTH 00 DEGREES 00 MINUTES 28 SECONDS EAST ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID LOT 1 AND THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 36, A DISTANCE OF 431.04 FEET TO SAID POINT OF BEGINNING, IN DUPage COUNTY, ILLINOIS.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA SECTION</th>
<th>ACA</th>
<th>ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT</th>
<th>Finance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>APPROVED</td>
<td>Assistant Village Manager/Director of Finance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the meeting of February 02, 2010 staff respectfully requests the presentation of the following motion to approve the accounts payable:

**Motion:** To move approval and payment of the accounts payable for the period of January 15, 2010 through January 29, 2010 in the aggregate amount of $567,800.35 as set forth on the list provided by the Village Treasurer, of which a permanent copy is on file with the Village Clerk.
VILLAGE OF HINSDALE

ACCOUNTS PAYABLE WARRANT REGISTER #1474

FOR PERIOD January 15, 2010 through January 29, 2010

The attached Warrant Summary by Fund and Warrant Register listing TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS FOR ALL FUNDS of $567,800.35 has been reviewed and approved by the below named officials.

APPROVED BY  _______________ DATE 1/26/10
ASSISTANT VILLAGE MANAGER/DIRECTOR OF FINANCE

APPROVED BY  _______________ DATE 1/29/10
VILLAGE MANAGER

APPROVED BY  _______________ DATE 1/28/2010
VILLAGE TREASURER

APPROVED BY  ____________________ DATE ____________________
VILLAGE TRUSTEE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recap By Fund</th>
<th>Fund</th>
<th>Regular Checks</th>
<th>Pension Checks</th>
<th>ACH/Wire Transfers</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Fund</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>120,837.68</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>150,000.00</td>
<td>270,837.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Fuel Tax Fund</td>
<td>23000</td>
<td>32,704.21</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32,704.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water &amp; Sewer Operations</td>
<td>61061</td>
<td>132,086.80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>132,086.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water &amp; Sewer Capital</td>
<td>61062</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escrow Funds</td>
<td>72100</td>
<td>9,260.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,260.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payroll Revolving Fund</td>
<td>79000</td>
<td>9,426.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>113,466.21</td>
<td>122,892.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>304,334.14</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td><strong>263,466.21</strong></td>
<td><strong>567,800.35</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAYEE/DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>VOU.</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>VENDOR INVOICE</td>
<td>INVOICE AMOUNT</td>
<td>CHECK NO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APLAC: FLEXONE</td>
<td>48227</td>
<td>ALPAC OTHERS</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>405.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48226</td>
<td>APLAC OTHERS</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>316.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4829</td>
<td>APLAC SLAC</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>271.20</td>
<td>81358</td>
<td>987.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN EXPRESS</td>
<td>48656</td>
<td>ASSY SUPPLIES</td>
<td>87021-12/09</td>
<td>870.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81359</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI &amp; T</td>
<td>48655</td>
<td>VILLAGE PHONE BILL</td>
<td>6307897000-11/09</td>
<td>4493.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48659</td>
<td>CIRCUITS</td>
<td>6307897000-11/09</td>
<td>2853.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLONIAL LIFE &amp; ACCIDENT</td>
<td>48619</td>
<td>COLONIAL OTHER</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>27.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48620</td>
<td>COLONIAL S L A C</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>70.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81361</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEDERAL RESERVE BANK</td>
<td>48621</td>
<td>SAVINGS BONDS</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>50.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81363</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOME DEPOT CREDIT SERVICE</td>
<td>48668</td>
<td>ASSY SUPPLIES</td>
<td>02214319-01/10</td>
<td>278.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81363</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSNE AS TRUSTEE FOR POST</td>
<td>48630</td>
<td>PEHP COMTIME PD</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>478.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48631</td>
<td>PEHP REGULAR</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>2448.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48632</td>
<td>PEHPD</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>594.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81364</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODERN SIGNS INC</td>
<td>48671</td>
<td>REIMBURSEMENT</td>
<td>A-06-09</td>
<td>252.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81365</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATIONWIDE RETIREMENT SOL</td>
<td>48622</td>
<td>USCM/PEBSCO</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48623</td>
<td>USCM/PEBSCO</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>1755.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81366</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE DISBURSEMENT UNIT</td>
<td>48633</td>
<td>CHILD SUPPORT</td>
<td>01221000000000000</td>
<td>1653.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81367</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAYEE</td>
<td>VENDOR DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>INVOICE</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td>CHECK AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED STATES POSTAL SVC</td>
<td>POSTAGE</td>
<td>1/29-01/13</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td>1000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VERSACOM WIRELESS</td>
<td></td>
<td>2335099465</td>
<td>17.12</td>
<td>17.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILLAGE OF HINSALDE</td>
<td></td>
<td>01221100000000</td>
<td>580.50</td>
<td>580.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01221100000000</td>
<td>399.17</td>
<td>399.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>01221100000000</td>
<td>332.22</td>
<td>332.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOE &amp; PAT TURNER</td>
<td></td>
<td>22493</td>
<td>2958.00</td>
<td>2958.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADVANCE CHEVROLET</td>
<td></td>
<td>212112</td>
<td>341.73</td>
<td>341.73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATR ONE EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td>55245</td>
<td>2350.00</td>
<td>2350.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGRAS NORTH CENTRAL</td>
<td></td>
<td>105274731</td>
<td>194.25</td>
<td>194.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN SCCA FOUNTAIN</td>
<td></td>
<td>13571</td>
<td>219.50</td>
<td>219.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMERICAN TAXI DISPATCH</td>
<td></td>
<td>1419</td>
<td>2500.00</td>
<td>2500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BANNERVILLE USA</td>
<td></td>
<td>11215</td>
<td>220.00</td>
<td>220.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ J CONSTRUCTION INC</td>
<td></td>
<td>2462</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BONO, CSR KATHLEEN W.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAYEE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>VENDOR NO</td>
<td>INVOICE AMOUNT</td>
<td>CHECK AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BONO, CSR KATHLEEN V.</td>
<td>18574 A-17-99</td>
<td>8861</td>
<td>711.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48925 A-27-99</td>
<td>8864</td>
<td>347.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48929 A-12-99</td>
<td>4864-2</td>
<td>417.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48926 A-39-99</td>
<td>4854-2</td>
<td>129.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48927 A-26-99</td>
<td>4864-3</td>
<td>291.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BROPHY, JUDY</td>
<td></td>
<td>80837-1</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK NO. 81180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUSER, TOM</td>
<td></td>
<td>50311</td>
<td>59.30</td>
<td>59.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK NO. 81181</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CACCIATORE, MARIA</td>
<td>48932PARKING ORDANCE</td>
<td>8218</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK NO. 81182</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAVITROS</td>
<td></td>
<td>3012278</td>
<td>614.90</td>
<td>614.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK NO. 81183</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CASE LOTS INC</td>
<td></td>
<td>020465/390/391</td>
<td>374.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK NO. 81184</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDW-GOVERNMENT INC.</td>
<td></td>
<td>RJV4051/6699</td>
<td>964.94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RJV4409</td>
<td>250.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RJV1105</td>
<td>99.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDW-GOVERNMENT INC.</td>
<td></td>
<td>RXG6019</td>
<td>105.00</td>
<td>1459.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK NO. 81185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHRUSCIEL, SANDRA</td>
<td>48943 CLASS REFUND</td>
<td>85104</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK NO. 81186</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CINTAS</td>
<td></td>
<td>765356676</td>
<td>161.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48905 RUGS TOWELS ETC</td>
<td>765362516</td>
<td>242.81</td>
<td>404.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK NO. 81187</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLARK DIETZ ENGINEERS</td>
<td></td>
<td>405737</td>
<td>32704.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAYEE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>INVOICE</td>
<td>VENDOR INVOICE</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td>CHECK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLARK DIETZ ENGINEERS</td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>031383</td>
<td>39.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMCAST</td>
<td>POLICE TV'S</td>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>031383</td>
<td>39.97</td>
<td>39.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMED</td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>81390</td>
<td>5164.93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONCRETE BY MAGNER</td>
<td>CONT BD/546 DALTERHOUSE</td>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>81391</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COOK COUNTY TREASURER</td>
<td></td>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>81392</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>24.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTRY LANDSCAPE &amp; SUPPL</td>
<td>LEAF DUMPING</td>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>81393</td>
<td>4176.00</td>
<td>4176.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRITICAL REACH</td>
<td>ANNUAL FEE</td>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>81394</td>
<td>265.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRYSTAL LAKE FIRE RESCUE</td>
<td>SEMINAR</td>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>81395</td>
<td>45.00</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURRAN, JEFF</td>
<td>REIMBURSEMENT CONFERENCE</td>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>81396</td>
<td>225.00</td>
<td>225.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUSTON CONCRETE WC CO</td>
<td>REJECT TICKET OVERPAID</td>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>81397</td>
<td>37.50</td>
<td>37.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVE PAGE &amp; SONS CONSTR</td>
<td>CONT BD/503 THE LAND</td>
<td>CHECK</td>
<td>81398</td>
<td>560.00</td>
<td>560.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAYEE</td>
<td>VENDOR DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>BILLING ACCOUNT</td>
<td>INVOICE AMOUNT</td>
<td>CHECK AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESIGN GROUP SIGNAGE CORP</td>
<td>STORAGE</td>
<td>42389</td>
<td>2323.00</td>
<td>2305.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISPATCH AUTOMOTIVE</td>
<td>ALTERNATOR</td>
<td>48345</td>
<td>201027</td>
<td>155.00</td>
<td>155.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCCU-SHRED, INC.</td>
<td>SHREDDING</td>
<td>48505</td>
<td>22062</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUNPAE WATER COMMISSION</td>
<td>WATER</td>
<td>48650</td>
<td>8255</td>
<td>115126.05</td>
<td>115126.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASTERN FIRE EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>CHAIN REPAIR</td>
<td>48757</td>
<td>0715</td>
<td>113.43</td>
<td>113.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMBASSY COFFEE SERVICE</td>
<td>COFFEE SUPPLIES</td>
<td>48892</td>
<td>693890</td>
<td>71.30</td>
<td>71.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COFFEE SUPPLIES</td>
<td>48908</td>
<td>693587/67948</td>
<td>178.70</td>
<td>178.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBM</td>
<td>SIGNAGE</td>
<td>48957</td>
<td>29727</td>
<td>975.00</td>
<td>975.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXCELL FASTENER SOLUTIONS</td>
<td>BOLTS</td>
<td>48947</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>83.23</td>
<td>83.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXELON EMERGY INC</td>
<td>TRANSFORMER</td>
<td>45862</td>
<td>103121800030</td>
<td>2324.70</td>
<td>2324.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOREST PRESERVE DIST OF</td>
<td>DUNCAN FIELD</td>
<td>48893</td>
<td>250-01/0</td>
<td>250.00</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUCHS &amp; ROSELLI, LTD</td>
<td>LEGAL SERVICES CARFIELD</td>
<td>48856</td>
<td>109715</td>
<td>484.00</td>
<td>484.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G &amp; K SERVICES</td>
<td>UNIFORMS</td>
<td>48889</td>
<td>1020386177</td>
<td>255.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENDOR</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>VENDOR INVOICE</td>
<td>INVOICE AMOUNT</td>
<td>CHECK NO.</td>
<td>CHECK AMOUNT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G &amp; K SERVICES</td>
<td>UNIFORMS</td>
<td>1023355394</td>
<td>232.17</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>232.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GARY JOHNSTON</td>
<td>PERMIT FEES</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>36.00</td>
<td>1111</td>
<td>36.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GASAWAY DISTRIBUTORS</td>
<td>CALCIUM CHLORIDE</td>
<td>102972</td>
<td>2377.11</td>
<td>1112</td>
<td>2377.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GENESIS SURVEY P C</td>
<td>FILED SURVEY</td>
<td>850-01-101</td>
<td>850.00</td>
<td>1113</td>
<td>850.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEORGE ADAMS &amp; COMPANY</td>
<td>CONT ED/639 &amp; GARRILLO</td>
<td>13394-01/10</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPOA</td>
<td>2010 DUES</td>
<td>0134001</td>
<td>640.00</td>
<td>1141</td>
<td>640.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIULIANOS</td>
<td>EMERGENCY MEALS</td>
<td>6410</td>
<td>64.98</td>
<td>1145</td>
<td>64.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRAINGER, INC.</td>
<td>ASST HEX NUTS</td>
<td>91555930/9312</td>
<td>140.95</td>
<td>1146</td>
<td>140.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAMPS</td>
<td>9151322712</td>
<td>144.66</td>
<td>1147</td>
<td>144.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ASST PARTS</td>
<td>9161212022</td>
<td>115.59</td>
<td>1148</td>
<td>115.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H &amp; H INDUSTRIES, INC.</td>
<td>LIGHTS</td>
<td>571662</td>
<td>162.61</td>
<td>1149</td>
<td>162.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2O SERVICES, INC.</td>
<td>WATER TREATMENT</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>402.36</td>
<td>1143</td>
<td>402.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HASSETT, GINA</td>
<td>REINBURSTMENT FOR KLM</td>
<td>58003</td>
<td>32.07</td>
<td>11420</td>
<td>32.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPER</td>
<td>VENDOR DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>INVOICE NUMBER</td>
<td>INVOICE AMOUNT</td>
<td>CHECK AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HD SUPPLY WATERWORKS</td>
<td>49336 WATER MAIN</td>
<td>3551331</td>
<td>238.26</td>
<td>238.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49357 WATER METER</td>
<td>0003203</td>
<td>235.30</td>
<td>235.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49309 WATER METERS</td>
<td>3217/3230</td>
<td>630.97</td>
<td>630.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEMML CORP</td>
<td>48944 KLM COFFEE POTS</td>
<td>166213</td>
<td>150.24</td>
<td>150.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HUMAN KINETICS</td>
<td>29450160</td>
<td>1189.94</td>
<td>1189.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HUMAN KINETIC FULFILLMENT</td>
<td>49894 ONLINE SUBSCRIPTION</td>
<td>29473030</td>
<td>1050.00</td>
<td>1050.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IL CRISIS NEGOTIATOR ASO</td>
<td>58223</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ILLINOIS FIRE CHIEF ASSOC</td>
<td>49973 TUITION</td>
<td>101017</td>
<td>1200.00</td>
<td>1200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ILLINOIS FIRE CHIEFS ASSN</td>
<td>49974 DUES</td>
<td>828</td>
<td>300.00</td>
<td>300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ILLINOIS FIRE INSPECTORS</td>
<td>49929 REGISTRATION</td>
<td>11227</td>
<td>75.00</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INFORMATION DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>49964 DIALER/WEB TRAC</td>
<td>11406/87</td>
<td>5561.00</td>
<td>5561.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INTERSTATE BATTERY SYSTEM</td>
<td>49911 BATTERY</td>
<td>33006411</td>
<td>179.90</td>
<td>179.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JASKOLSKI, NARINA</td>
<td>49989 CLASS REFUND</td>
<td>85274</td>
<td>163.30</td>
<td>163.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JOHNSTONE SUPPLY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAYEE</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>VENDOR INVOICE</td>
<td>INVOICE AMOUNT</td>
<td>CHECK AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHNSTORE SUPPLY</td>
<td>THERMOSTAT</td>
<td>27563333C</td>
<td>60.47</td>
<td>60.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KALIDOCOSCOPE CHILDREN</td>
<td>INSTRUCTION <em>REGA EXP</em></td>
<td>375876-01/10</td>
<td>3758.70</td>
<td>3758.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARA SYSTEMS</td>
<td>BOOKS</td>
<td>256196</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td>19.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KESSMAUL ELECTRONIC CO</td>
<td>REPAIRS</td>
<td>11296</td>
<td>217.64</td>
<td>217.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIZMAL, GREGORY</td>
<td>48899 CONT BD/160 S WASHINGTON</td>
<td>18915</td>
<td>1200.00</td>
<td>1200.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAKE BROTHERS CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>48988 CONT BD/235 S ADAMS</td>
<td>19120</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LANKINRU CONSTRUCTION INC</td>
<td>48984 CONT BD/160 S WASHINGTON</td>
<td>5112189/1250</td>
<td>334.50</td>
<td>334.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LARKINHANSON</td>
<td>SAND</td>
<td>5112010</td>
<td>418.05</td>
<td>418.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48975 STONE</td>
<td>5112727</td>
<td>517.44</td>
<td>1769.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAYOUT POLICE DEPARTMENT</td>
<td>RANGE FERS</td>
<td>10/08-10/09</td>
<td>550.00</td>
<td>550.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LINDCO EQUIPMENT SALES IN</td>
<td>TUBES</td>
<td>20033501P</td>
<td>147.34</td>
<td>147.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LORENZ, STEVE</td>
<td>48842 CONT BD/414 S THURLOW</td>
<td>19106</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAACO AUTO PAINTING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAYEE</td>
<td>ITEM DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>VENDOR INVOICE</td>
<td>INVOICE AMOUNT</td>
<td>CHECK AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAACO AUTO PAINTING</td>
<td>10300 CAR PAINTING</td>
<td>25742</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MANDY PRINTING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAZARIO, JIM</td>
<td>48940 METER READINGS</td>
<td>121528-1/10</td>
<td>1215.28</td>
<td>1215.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCGR, MARY</td>
<td>10859 REIMBURSEMENT</td>
<td>092146</td>
<td>73.06</td>
<td>73.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCMASTER-CARR SUPPLY CO</td>
<td>48958 ROLLER CATCHES</td>
<td>45332715</td>
<td>50.98</td>
<td>50.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHAEL HELLEBAA ELKS</td>
<td>48955 HINSDALE REPAIRS</td>
<td>1471</td>
<td>1980.00</td>
<td>1980.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIDWEST HEALTH WORKS</td>
<td>48962 DRUG SCREEN</td>
<td>15639</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48963 DRUG SCREENS</td>
<td>15640</td>
<td>194.00</td>
<td>194.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONROE TRUCK EQUIPT CO</td>
<td>48960 RELAYS</td>
<td>287561</td>
<td>56.96</td>
<td>56.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MONTESKIN, BRAD</td>
<td>48843 CONT BD/220 MEADOWBROOK</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td>50.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NICC GAS</td>
<td>48851 COUNTY LH RD</td>
<td>1295211060212/09</td>
<td>235.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>48852 PLATFORM TENNIS</td>
<td>0677735657.12/09</td>
<td>1304.58</td>
<td>1304.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTON INC</td>
<td>48830 STREET REPAIRS</td>
<td>121709</td>
<td>1732.50</td>
<td>1732.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTHERN AMERICAN SALT CO.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENDOR</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>PURCHASE INVOICE</td>
<td>INVOICE AMOUNT</td>
<td>CHECK NO.</td>
<td>CHECK AMOUNT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH AMERICAN SALT CO.</td>
<td>48079 ROAD SALT</td>
<td>10432190</td>
<td>3211.57</td>
<td>81464</td>
<td>3211.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTH EAST MULTI-REGIONAL</td>
<td>48691 SEARCH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NORTHEASTERN WISCONSIN</td>
<td>48911 TRAINING</td>
<td>414113</td>
<td>390.00</td>
<td>81455</td>
<td>390.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUCOS INC.</td>
<td>48673 POOL CHEMICALS</td>
<td>78451012</td>
<td>14.78</td>
<td>81457</td>
<td>14.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY 7685</td>
<td>48569 PARTS</td>
<td>7817460</td>
<td>424.10</td>
<td>81458</td>
<td>424.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAKLEY HOME BUILDERS</td>
<td>48517 SITE MOD/232 N COUNTY</td>
<td>13420</td>
<td>2000.00</td>
<td>81459</td>
<td>2000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICEMAX/A SISSELL COMPANY</td>
<td>48881 OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
<td>13729</td>
<td>395.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICEMAX/A SISSELL COMPANY</td>
<td>48971 OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
<td>145486/150171</td>
<td>1459.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFFICEMAX/A SISSELL COMPANY</td>
<td>48981 OFFICE SUPPLIES</td>
<td>263269</td>
<td>126.78</td>
<td>81461</td>
<td>126.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERSONAL SAFETY CORP</td>
<td>48938 PEPPER SPRAY</td>
<td>58221</td>
<td>169.70</td>
<td>81461</td>
<td>169.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PETRACVITUS, ADAM</td>
<td>48845 CONT ED/421 S BRUNER</td>
<td>139110</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>81462</td>
<td>500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHEDRAR, WES</td>
<td>48933 BOOT REIMBURSEMENT</td>
<td>58116</td>
<td>85.00</td>
<td>81463</td>
<td>85.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROLANCE ENERGY, LLC</td>
<td>48861</td>
<td>2009127011768</td>
<td>81461</td>
<td>8619.13</td>
<td>8619.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUARRY MATERIALS, INC.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>VENDOR</td>
<td>INVOICE</td>
<td>CHECK NO.</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUARRY MATERIALS, INC. 10360</td>
<td>17573</td>
<td>331.22</td>
<td>81465</td>
<td>738.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17597 ASHphalt MATERIALS</td>
<td>81467</td>
<td>517.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUEST COMMUNICATIONS 49914</td>
<td>1077456808</td>
<td>58.66</td>
<td>81466</td>
<td>58.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAY CHEMCO INC 49315 CARTRIDGES</td>
<td>17155</td>
<td>358.00</td>
<td>81467</td>
<td>953.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECORD INFORMATION 49978 PUB RECORD SERVICE</td>
<td>26152</td>
<td>575.00</td>
<td>81468</td>
<td>575.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RECREONICS 49915 FLOOR REPAIRS</td>
<td>520119</td>
<td>4703.05</td>
<td>81469</td>
<td>4703.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESOURCE COMPANIES INC 49900 WATER MAIN</td>
<td>062503</td>
<td>1482.36</td>
<td>81470</td>
<td>1482.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIVERS, DLA 49928 CLASS REFUND</td>
<td>85240</td>
<td>135.00</td>
<td>81471</td>
<td>135.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RODRIGUEZ, HERN 49356 REFUND TICKET OVERPAYMENT 1697</td>
<td>31443</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>81472</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSTI, GAGE H. 49979 FOOD INSPECTIONS</td>
<td>31443</td>
<td>625.00</td>
<td>81473</td>
<td>625.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKOENA, NICK 49872 CLEANING</td>
<td>1600-01/10</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td>81474</td>
<td>500.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCCER MADE IN AMERICA 49835 INSTRUCTION <em>REINS EXP</em></td>
<td>CA09-029</td>
<td>681.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENDOR</td>
<td>DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>INVOICE NUMBER</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCCER MADE IN AMERICA</td>
<td>SOFY</td>
<td>CHECK NO. 81477</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STANDARD COMPANY</td>
<td>TOWELS</td>
<td>312863A</td>
<td>99.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HANDLES</td>
<td>312862A</td>
<td>21.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBURBAN DOOR CHECK</td>
<td>CYLINDER</td>
<td>393845</td>
<td>59.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBURBAN LIFE PUBLICATION</td>
<td>A-7-09 A-35-09</td>
<td>456859</td>
<td>1078.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAYLOR, GREGORY</td>
<td>CDL RENEWAL</td>
<td>58311</td>
<td>60.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSMQ IPHONE CO INC</td>
<td>GAS</td>
<td>275354</td>
<td>19233.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE CARE OF TREES</td>
<td>TREE PRUNING</td>
<td>1236211</td>
<td>9030.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE MARTIN COMPANY</td>
<td>NAME PLATES</td>
<td>838030</td>
<td>11.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THIRD MILLENIUM</td>
<td>BALANCE DUE</td>
<td>12207-1</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPI SUBSCRIPTION SERVICE</td>
<td>RENEWAL</td>
<td>5649159-01/10</td>
<td>438.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
<td>VENDOR DESCRIPTION</td>
<td>VENDOR INVOICE</td>
<td>AMOUNT</td>
<td>CHECK AMOUNT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANE</td>
<td>2/25/1003</td>
<td>138917</td>
<td>223.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2/25/1003</td>
<td>138924</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TREE TOWN RENTAL SERVICE</td>
<td>138917</td>
<td>87.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8/1003</td>
<td>ART SUPPLIES</td>
<td>138917</td>
<td>87.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8/1003</td>
<td>TAPRER</td>
<td>138924</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPS STORE #3276</td>
<td>7883/7915/8030/2</td>
<td>101.97</td>
<td>101.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8/1003</td>
<td>UPS CHARGER</td>
<td>7883/7915/8030/2</td>
<td>101.97</td>
<td>101.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILLAGE OF HINSDALE-POLIC</td>
<td>30358</td>
<td>303.58</td>
<td>303.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8/1003</td>
<td>POLICE PETTY CASH</td>
<td>30358</td>
<td>303.58</td>
<td>303.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHOLESALE DIRECT, INC</td>
<td>50307</td>
<td>18.19</td>
<td>18.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8/1003</td>
<td>RICES</td>
<td>50307</td>
<td>18.19</td>
<td>18.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XEROX CORPORATION</td>
<td>245543781</td>
<td>983.98</td>
<td>983.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8/1003</td>
<td>LEASE PAYMENT</td>
<td>245543781</td>
<td>983.98</td>
<td>983.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZEE MEDICAL</td>
<td>3100337720/21</td>
<td>134.54</td>
<td>134.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8/1003</td>
<td>MEDICAL SUPPLIES</td>
<td>3100337720/21</td>
<td>134.54</td>
<td>134.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Regular Checks</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>204,338.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payee/Date</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Vendor Invoice</td>
<td>Invoice Amount</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21/2010</td>
<td>Village Payroll # 2 - Calendar 2010</td>
<td>FWH</td>
<td>38,290.57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21/2010</td>
<td>Village Payroll # 2 - Calendar 2010</td>
<td>FICA/MCARE</td>
<td>34,094.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21/2010</td>
<td>Village Payroll # 2 - Calendar 2010</td>
<td>State Tax Withholding</td>
<td>9,493.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21/2010</td>
<td>Village Payroll # 2 - Calendar 2010</td>
<td>Employee Withholding</td>
<td>5,965.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21/2010</td>
<td>Village Payroll # 2 - Calendar 2010</td>
<td>Employee Withholding</td>
<td>13,189.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21/2010</td>
<td>Village Payroll # 2 - Calendar 2010</td>
<td>Employee Withholding</td>
<td>2,433.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/21/2010</td>
<td>Village Payroll # 2 - Calendar 2010</td>
<td>Employee Health Insurance</td>
<td>150,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/2/2010</td>
<td>Pension Payroll # 2 - Calendar Year 2010</td>
<td>2/10 Estimated FWH</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Bank Wire Transfers and ACH Payments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>263,466.21</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total Regular Checks, Pension Checks and Wire Transfers/ACH Payments</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>567,800.35</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Services staff has received 5 bids on January 5, 2010 for the Downtown Landscaping Contract for which there is $62,000.00 budgeted. A bid comparison is attached. Due to budget constraints facing the Village, staff is respectfully requesting the rejection of all bids for this service. Public Services staff is reviewing alternative options for downtown landscaping and will provide these options at the next EPS meeting on February 16th.

Public Services staff would like to recommend to Committee the rejection of all bids for contract #1464 for the service of Downtown Landscaping, and if the Committee concurs the following motion would be appropriate:

**MOTION:** To recommend to the Board of Trustee's the rejection of all bids for bid #1464 for the service of the Downtown Landscaping.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAFF APPROVALS</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>MANAGER'S APPROVAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMITTEE ACTION:**

**BOARD ACTION:**
### Downtown Landscaping

**Project Number:** #1464  
**Project Name:** Downtown Landscaping  
**Date:** 1/05/10  
**Budget:**  
**Account:**  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Bid Security</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clauss Brothers, Inc.</td>
<td>360 W. Schaumburg Rd.</td>
<td>10% Bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarence Davids &amp; Co.</td>
<td>23901 W. 127th St.</td>
<td>10% Bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The TLC Group</td>
<td>751 N. Bolingbrook Dr.</td>
<td>10% Bond</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinsella Landscape Inc.</td>
<td>13821 S. Harrison</td>
<td>$6906.00 check</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit Qty</th>
<th>Est Price</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Extended Total</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Extended Total</th>
<th>Unit Price</th>
<th>Extended Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Downtown Landscape Maintenance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>68239.65</td>
<td>68239.65</td>
<td>67876.00</td>
<td>58968.85</td>
<td>59554.94</td>
<td>59554.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Vendor:** McFarlane Douglass Co.  
**Address:** 43 Tower Dr.  
**Bid Security:** $5650.00 Check

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Unit Qty</th>
<th>Est Price</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Downtown Landscape Maintenance</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56199.65</td>
<td>56499.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION

AGENDA

SECTION NUMBER

ITEM Case A-27-2009 – Applicant: Adventist Hinsdale Hospital –
Request: Text Amendment to Section 7-105 (Special Uses) and 12-206
(Definitions) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code to allow Helistops as Special
Uses in the Health Services District.

ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT

APPROVAL

Community Development

The Applicant, Adventist Hinsdale Hospital, has submitted an application to amend Sections 7-105 and 12-206 of the Zoning Code to allow Helistops in the Health Services District, as Special Uses. Currently the Zoning Code does not have any allowances or regulations for Helistops as they relate to the hospital or otherwise. If approved, the text amendment would not specifically permit a Helistop, but would allow the hospital to request one as a Special Use. As a Special Use, all respective Commissions, Committees and the Village Board, would have the ability to weigh the appropriateness of the Helistop as it relates to the requested site using not only the standards of a Special Use, but also those requirements set forth in the proposed definition, specifically. It should be noted that while the proposed text amendment would apply to the entire HS District, the Hinsdale Hospital is in fact, the only property within this district.

Below is draft language proposed by the applicant that would amend the Zoning Code so that Helistops associated with hospitals could be permitted as Special Uses in the HS, Health Services District:

Section 7-105 Special Uses

G. Helistop

Section 12-206 Definitions

Helistop: Structure used for an emergency medical helicopter heliport (the “Landing Pad”) to transport patients for medical and surgical emergencies.

1. Helicopter transports may only be made for outgoing patients from the structure and may be made only when patients require immediate transport for surgery or medical care in an intensive care unit.

2. The decision to transfer an outgoing patient by air will strictly remain a decision between the referring physician, the Transport Team and their Medical Control.

3. No helicopter transport business shall be owned or operated from the Helistop and no helicopter may be based, stored, fueled or serviced at the Helistop.

4. The user of a Helistop must submit all required documentation to the State of Illinois Department of Transportation Division of Aeronautics in order for that agency to review and, if the appropriate regulations are met, to issue the appropriate permit for utilization of a Helistop.

5. A Helistop must be reviewed and approved as a Special Use in the Health Services Zoning District for purposes of a Hospital.

At the Plan Commission meeting of January 13, 2010, it was recommended, with a 6-1 vote, (1 absent and 1 abstention) that the Text Amendment to Section 7-105 (Special Uses) and 12-206 (Definitions) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code to allow Helistops as Special Uses in the Health Services District, be approved with the amended language as underlined above.

Attached are the approved findings and recommendation from the Plan Commission and the ordinance.
MOTION: Move that the Board of Trustees approve an “Ordinance Amending Section 7-105 (Special Uses) and 12-206 (Definitions) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code to allow Helistops as Special Uses in the Health Services District”.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>MANAGER’S APPROVAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

COMMITTEE ACTION: On January 25, 2010, the Zoning and Public Safety Committee, on a 2-1 vote, moved to recommend approval of the above motion.

BOARD ACTION:
RE: Case A-27-2009 - Applicant: Adventist Hinsdale Hospital – Request: Text Amendment to Section 7-105 (Special Uses) and 12-206 (Definitions) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code to allow Helistops as Special Uses in the Health Services District.

DATE OF PLAN COMMISSION REVIEW: December 9th, 2009 and January 13, 2010

DATE OF COMMITTEE REVIEW: January 25, 2010

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

I. FINDINGS

1. The Applicant, Adventist Hinsdale Hospital, submitted an application to amend Section 7-105 (Special Uses) and 12-206 (Definitions) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code to allow Helistops as Special Uses in the Health Services District.

2. The Plan Commission heard presentations from the applicant at the Plan Commission meeting of December 9, 2009 and January 13, 2010.

3. The Plan Commission and residents expressed concerns with regards to noise, safety and property values.

4. Several residents were given the opportunity to speak, both in favor of, and opposition to the proposed text amendment.

5. As a result of comments and concerns of the residents and Commissioners, as well as discussions conducted, the Plan Commission requested the proposed text amendment be revised, and the applicant submitted more refined language to identify any potential usage being for outgoing patients only.

6. The Plan Commission specifically finds that the Application satisfies the standards in Section 11-601 of the Zoning Code applicable to approval of the amendments.

II. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Village of Hinsdale Plan Commission, by a vote of six (6) “Ayes”, one (1) “Nays”, one (1) “Absent” and one (1) “Abstention” recommends to the President and Board of Trustees that the Hinsdale Zoning Code be amended using the revised language as submitted.

THE HINSDALE PLAN COMMISSION

By: [Signature]
Chairman

Dated this 28th day of January, 2010.
VILLAGE OF HINSDALE

ORDINANCE NO. __________

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 7-105 AND SECTION 12-206 OF THE HINSDALE ZONING CODE REGARDING HELISTOPS IN THE HEALTH SERVICES DISTRICT
(Plan Commission Case No. A-27-2009)

WHEREAS, the Applicant, Adventist Hinsdale Hospital ("Applicant"), seeks text amendments to Section 7-105 and Section 12-206 of the Village of Hinsdale’s ("Village") Zoning Code to add helistops as a special use in the Health Services District and to define a helistop ("the Application"); and

WHEREAS, the Hinsdale Plan Commission conducted a public hearing to consider the Application on December 9, 2009 and January 13, 2010, pursuant to notice thereof properly published in the Hinsdalean on November 19, 2009, and, after considering all of the testimony and evidence presented at the public hearing, the Plan Commission recommended approval of the Application by a vote of six (6) in favor, one (1) against, and one (1) abstention, subject to numerous conditions and recommendations, subject to numerous conditions and recommendations, all as set forth in the Plan Commission’s Findings and Recommendations for Plan Commission Case No. A-27-2009; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning and Public Safety Committee of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, at a public meeting on January 25, 2010, considered the Application and the Findings and Recommendation of the Plan Commission and made its recommendation to the Board of Trustees; and

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale have considered the Findings and Recommendation of the Plan Commission and all of the facts and circumstances affecting the Application, and the President and Board of Trustees have determined that it is appropriate to amend the Hinsdale Zoning Code as provided in this Ordinance.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, DuPage and Cook Counties and State of Illinois, as follows:

Section 1. Recitals. The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this Ordinance as findings of the President and Board of Trustees.

Section 2. Amendment of Section 7-105. Article VII (Special Districts), Part 1 (Health Services District), Section 7-105 (Special Uses) of the Hinsdale
Zoning Code is hereby amended by adding the underlined language to read as follows:

Sec. 7-105. Special uses.

Except as specifically limited in the following paragraphs, the uses listed in the following paragraphs may be permitted in the Health Services District subject to the issuance of a special use permit as provided in Section 11-602 of this Code. In interpreting the use designations, reference should be made to the Standard Industrial Classification Manual (see Appendix A) and Section 11-501 of this Code. SIC codes are given in parentheses following each use listing.

A. Social services.

1. Individual and Family Services (832)

2. Child Day Care Services (835)

3. Residential Care (836)

B. Vocational schools (8249), limited to nursing, medical, and allied health schools.

C. Dwelling units, subject to the provisions applicable in the R-5 District, but only when occupied by hospital personnel, staff, and students enrolled in medical or allied health schools.

D. Staff dwellings, subject to the provisions applicable to multiple family dwellings in the R-5 District; provided, however, that every rooming unit in a staff dwelling shall be counted as one-third dwelling unit for the purpose of calculating compliance with minimum total lot area per dwelling unit requirements.

E. Planned developments.

F. Emergency directional signs on public property pursuant to Section 9-106 of this Code.

G. Helistops, as defined in Section 12-206 of this Code.

Section 3. Amendment of Section 12-206. Article XII (Applicability and Interpretation), Part II (Interpretations), Section 12-206 (Definitions) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code is hereby amended by adding the underlined language to read as follows:
Sec. 12-206. Definitions:

When used in this code, the following terms shall have the meanings herein ascribed to them:

* * * *

**Helistop:** A structure used for an emergency medical helicopter heliport (the “Landing Pad”) to transport patients for medical and surgical emergencies. For the purposes of this definition, the following shall be applicable:

A. Helicopter transports may only be made for outgoing patients from the structure and may be made only when patients require immediate transport for surgery or medical care in an intensive care unit.

B. The decision to transfer an outgoing patient by air will strictly remain a decision between the referring physician, the transport team and their medical control.

C. No helicopter transport business shall be owned or operated from the helistop and no helicopter may be based, stored, fueled or serviced at a helistop.

D. The user of a helistop must submit all required documentation to the State of Illinois Department of Transportation Division of Aeronautics in order for that agency to review and, if the appropriate regulations are met, to issue the appropriate permit for utilization of a helistop.

E. A helistop must be reviewed and approved as a special use in the Health Services District for purposes of a hospital.

* * * *

**Section 4. Severability and Repeal of Inconsistent Ordinances.** If any section, paragraph, clause or provision of this Ordinance shall be held invalid, the invalidity thereof shall not affect any of the other provisions of this Ordinance. All ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.
Section 5. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form in the manner provided by law.

PASSED this ____ day of ____________ 2010.

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this ____ day of ____________ 2010.

______________________________
Thomas K. Cauley, Jr., Village President

ATTEST:

______________________________
Christine M. Bruton, Deputy Village Clerk
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AGENDA</th>
<th>ORIGINATING DEPARTMENT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SECTION NUMBER</td>
<td>Community Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEM</td>
<td>Zoning and Public Safety Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case A-32-2009 - Applicant: Adventist Hinsdale Hospital - Location: 120 N. Oak Street: Special Use Permit to allow a Major Adjustment to a Planned Development, Special Use Permit to allow a Helistop associated with a hospital in the HS Health Services District and Site Plan/Exterior Appearance Approval for construction of a hospital addition.</td>
<td>APPROVAL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Applicant, Adventist Hinsdale Hospital, has submitted an application for a Special Use Permit to allow a Helistop associated with the Hospital as well as Exterior Appearance and Site Plan Review and a Special Use for a Major Adjustment to the Planned Development to allow for the construction of a four story addition to the hospital located at 120 N. Oak Street. In addition to the proposed addition, the applicant is proposing to locate a Helistop on the top level of the existing parking garage at the subject property. The applicant has requested these approvals in conjunction with Plan Commission Case A-27-2009, which is a text amendment request to allow a Helistop associated with the hospital, in the HS, Health Services District. As part of the current proposal, the hospital and its representatives sent out notices and held neighborhood meetings May 19th, 22nd and 31st. On October 15th, the applicant also hosted what they referred to as a community forum in which notification was posted in three local newspapers including the Doings, the Hinsdalean and Suburban Life.

ZONING HISTORY/CHARACTER OF AREA
The property to the west is zoned R-4 and improved with single-family residences and OS Open Space; IB Institutional Buildings, north is zoned R-4 and IB improved with single-family residence and a religious building; to the east is zoned HS and OS improved with the Wellness House and Pierce Park, to the south is the Burlington Northern Railroad.

GENERAL STAFF COMMENTS
Special Use Permit for a Major Adjustment to a Planned Development
Paragraph 11-603K2 of the Hinsdale Zoning Code regulates Major Adjustments to a Final Planned Development and as such, the petitioner is requesting a major adjustment with the following waivers:
- Front yard setback from 35' to 17'-5'' feet

Zoning criteria
The hospital was originally located on the property in approximately 1905 and has received several building additions over the years. The last major expansion on the property was completed in 2001. The property is zoned HS Hospital Service. The entire parcel is 13.61 acres in size and consists of approximately ten structures. The easterly property contains three buildings that houses 92 dwelling units since 1955. The applicant has indicated that as part of this proposal, they will demolish Highland Hall (20 units) and Parkview (2 units). According to the applicant this area will be used for staging during construction and will be returned to green space once the expansion is complete.

The application submitted, pertains to the southwest portion of the hospital’s property and is generally located just northwest of the Oak Street bridge/overpass and directly east of the south garage. The new proposal includes the construction of a new 4-story patient pavilion. If approved, the addition of the patient pavilion would decrease the overall bed count of the existing hospital by 52 beds by making all of the rooms private. According to the application, a new lobby would be built and the proposal would also include the relocation of Pre-Admission, Testing, Surgical Waiting, Admitting facilities and Chapel facility.
Section 7-110 of the Zoning Code allows the maximum floor area ratio to be 1.6. Based on the overall size of the property, the maximum floor area ratio is 948,563 (13.61 acres x 43,560 sq. ft. x 1.6). The proposed expansion brings the total floor area ratio to 1.512 (896,281 square feet), below the maximum allowed per the code. The Zoning Code does not state a maximum building or lot coverage requirement.

Parking/circulation
Under the proposed site plan, the applicant has identified that their will be one new curb cut and a modification to an existing curb cut. Both changes are proposed to occur along Oak Street. The existing southerly curb cut, closest to the Oak Street Bridge, will be reduced in width and will be modified to use for exiting only. A new curb cut is proposed to be located north of that existing cut, and will serve as an entrance only. The newly proposed circulation pattern would eliminate two-way traffic and utilize one-way traffic to enter and exit the proposed addition, as illustrated in the petitioner’s site circulation plan. In addition, portions of the new patient pavilion will cantilever and extend over the existing drive aisles, providing covered drop off areas and limited protection from certain weather conditions. The Police Department has reviewed the traffic report provided by the applicant and agrees with the consultant that the proposal will not increase traffic volumes. They have indicated however, that the Oak Street Bridge expansion will certainly have an impact on traffic volumes. And while the impacts are unpredictable, they generally believe that the expansion will improve the efficiency of north/south cut-through traffic resulting in increased traffic volumes on Oak unless the Village discourages cut through traffic with turn restrictions and other traffic control devices. The use of these traffic control devices would be reviewed more closely based on need and response to the Oak Street Bridge expansion.

The proposed building addition decreases the existing parking requirements as the bed count will actually be reduced, and no additional staff is proposed. The on-site parking requirements and the total number of spaces available are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Required:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 space per each 2 beds:</td>
<td>290/2  =  145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 space per each doctor:</td>
<td>250    =  250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 space per each 2 other employees:</td>
<td>1210/2 =  605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1,000 parking spaces required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Available:</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South parking garage:</td>
<td>571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bast parking garage:</td>
<td>609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface:</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1,253 spaces provided</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special Use Permit for a Helistop in the HS, Health Services District
Currently the Zoning Code does not have any allowances or regulations for Helistops as they relate to the hospital or otherwise. The request for the Special Use to allow the Helistop is proposed in conjunction with a text amendment (A-27-2009) which would allow for Helistops as a Special Use in the HS District. The text amendment request provides the applicant the ability to also request the Special Use for the Helistop. More information regarding text amendment can be found in the applicants submittal, case A-27-2009, regarding the text amendment for Helistops in the HS District.

Exterior Appearance/Site Plan Review
The proposed addition will consist of a face brick similar to the existing structure. Masonry columns with precast concrete caps will be used in several areas for design accent, as illustrated in the attached elevations. The overall height of the proposed addition is 61’-10” which includes a shielded mechanical unit enclosure extending to 73’-0” in height, however per the zoning code, this is not considered in determining overall
Street frontage. Because of this, a waiver is required to allow the proposed setback, in lieu of the 35'-0"
required. The proposed Helistop would utilize a portion of the top level of the existing south parking garage.
While the elevations identify the overall height to be 43'-6", this dimension is the physical height in that
elevation and does not provide the height as defined by the zoning code. Using those definitions, the
architect has confirmed the height to the highest point of the top floor garage wall to be 31'-7" and the
highest point of the proposed Helistop to be 33'-10"

Signage
As illustrated in the attached drawings, the newly proposed monument sign would be located on the east side
of the proposed expansion along Oak Street. According to the submitted plans, the overall height of the sign
would be 8'-0" and the applicant has confirmed that the setback from the Oak Street property line would be a
minimum of 10'-0". The structure would be masonry and contain backlit letters as seen in the attached
documents. When determining the square footage permitted for signage, it is determined by the overall
height and width of the actual sign face and does not take into consideration the base or frame of the
monument sign. As such, the sign is approximately 15 square feet. Subsection 9-106J of the Zoning Code
provides the requirements for ground signs in the HS District and the maximum square footage is 50 square
feet per sign face. The maximum overall height of a monument sign is 8'-0" and the required and proposed
setback is 10'-0". As such, the proposed sign application meets the requirements of Section 9-106 – Signs of
the Zoning Code.

Other
The Fire Department has met with the applicant and identified, per Section 503 of the 2006 International Fire
Code, concerns with regards to the access on the south end of the addition and also indicated that if cars were
going to be travelling under the proposed addition, fire sprinkler coverage would be required. The petitioner
has been made aware of these issues and these items will be further reviewed during the building permit
review stage.

At the January 13th, 2010 Plan Commission meeting the commission reviewed the application submitted by
Adventist Hinsdale Hospital and recommended approval, on a 6-1 vote (1 absent and 1 abstention), the
approval of the request for a Special Use to allow a Helistop associated with the hospital and recommended
unanimously (7-0, 1 absent and 1 abstention), approval of the request for a Special Use Permit for a Major
Adjustment to the Planned Development, which included a waiver to permit a 17'-5" front yard setback
instead of the required 30'-0" front yard setback, as well as Site Plan/Exterior Appearance Approval for a
four-story addition to the existing hospital.

At the Zoning and Public Safety meeting of January 25th, the Committee recommended that the Board of
Trustees discuss and consider the possibility of invoking a revocation process that would revisit the Special
Use for the Helistop allowing the Board the option of revoking the Special Use. The proposed language
includes the option of reviewing and revocation of the Special Use after two years and every four years
thereafter, following the date of ordinance adoption. It also proposes language that could allow for the
immediate rescission of the Special Use if any conditions are violated.

Review Criteria
In review of the application submitted the Commission must review the following criteria as stated in the
Zoning Code:
1. Subsection 11-602E pertaining to Standards for special use permits;
2. Subsection 11-604F pertaining to Standards for site plan disapproval; and
3. Subsection 11-606E pertaining to Standards for building permits (exterior appearance review), which
   refers to Subsection 11-605E Standards and considerations for design review permit.

Attached are the approved findings and recommendations from the Plan Commission and the ordinances.
MOTION: Move that the Board of Trustees approve an “Ordinance Approving a Special Use Permit for a Helistop at the Property Located at 120 N. Oak Street.” And;

MOTION: Move that the Board of Trustees approve an “Ordinance Approving a Major Adjustment to a Planned Development, and Site Plans and Exterior Appearance Plans for the Expansion of the Existing Hospital Located at 120 N. Oak Street.”

COMMITTEE ACTION: On January 25, 2010, the Zoning and Public Safety Committee moved to recommend approval of the above motion, on a 2-1 vote, regarding a Special Use Permit for a Helistop, provided the Village Board of Trustees discuss and consider the possibility of invoking a revocation process that would revisit the Special Use for the Helistop, allowing the Board the option of revoking the Special Use after two years, and every four years thereafter, following the date of ordinance adoption or immediate rescission if any conditions are violated.

On January 25, 2010, the Zoning and Public Safety Committee unanimously moved to recommend approval of the above motion regarding a Major Adjustment to a Planned Development and Site Plan/Exterior Appearance approval.

BOARD ACTION: 
RE: Case A-32-2009 - Applicant: Adventist Hinsdale Hospital - Location: 120 N. Oak Street: Special Use Permit to allow a Major Adjustment to a Planned Development, Special Use Permit to allow a Helistop associated with a hospital in the IHS Health Services District and Site Plan/Exterior Appearance Approval for construction of a four-story hospital addition.

DATE OF PLAN COMMISSION REVIEW: December 9, 2009 and January 13, 2010

DATE OF ZONING AND PUBLIC SAFETY REVIEW: January 25, 2010

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

I. FINDINGS

1. Adventist Hinsdale Hospital, submitted an application to the Village of Hinsdale for a Special Use Permit to allow a Major Adjustment to a Planned Development, Special Use Permit to allow a Helistop associated with a hospital in the IHS Health Services District and Site Plan/Exterior Appearance Approval for construction of a four-story hospital addition for the property located at 120 N. Oak Street (the "Application").

2. The property is located within the IHS Hospital District and improved with an existing hospital and associated medical and parking structures.

3. The Applicant, is proposing the construction of a four story addition to the hospital located at 120 N. Oak Street, which includes a Helistop on the top level of the existing parking garage at the subject property.

4. The Plan Commission heard comments from the audience regarding the proposed site improvements and Special Uses.

5. The Plan Commission expressed some general concerns regarding traffic and landscaping, but generally found the addition to be appropriate.

6. Residents presented both concerns and support regarding the proposed helistop associated with the approval. The concerns voiced regarding the helistop included those of noise, general safety and a decrease of property values.

7. While certain members of the Plan Commission shared some of these concerns, most felt that presentations and explanations given by both the applicant and their experts relieved any of these concerns.

8. The Plan Commission specifically finds that the Application, as a whole, satisfies the standards in Section 11-602 of the Zoning Code applicable to approval of a special use permit, Subsection 11-604F pertaining to Standards for site plan disapproval and Section 11-606 of the Zoning Code governing exterior appearance review.
II. RECOMMENDATION

The Village of Hinsdale Plan Commission, by a vote of 6 “Ayes,” 1 “Nay,” 1 “Absent” and 1 “Abstention” recommends that the President and Board of Trustees approve the Application for a Special Use permit to allow a Helistop associated with a hospital in the HS Health Services District at 120 N. Oak, subject to the revised language recommended for the Text Amendment, case A-27-2009.

The Village of Hinsdale Plan Commission, by a vote of 7 “Ayes,” 0 “Nay,” 1 “Absent” and 1 “Abstention” recommends that the President and Board of Trustees approve the Application for a Special Use permit to allow a Major Adjustment to a Planned Development, for the Hinsdale Hospital located at 120 N. Oak Street.

The Village of Hinsdale Plan Commission, by a vote of 7 “Ayes,” 0 “Nay,” 1 “Absent” and 1 “Abstention” recommends that the President and Board of Trustees approve the Application for exterior appearance/site plan review approval, which includes a Helistop and a four-story addition, for the Hinsdale Hospital located at 120 N. Oak Street.

THE HINSDALE PLAN COMMISSION

By:  

Chairman

Dated this 38th day of January, 2010.
VILLAGE OF HINSDALE

ORDINANCE NO. __________

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A SPECIAL USE PERMIT FOR A HELISTOP AT THE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 120 NORTH OAK STREET
(Plan Commission Case No. A-32-2009)

WHEREAS, Adventist Hinsdale Hospital ("Applicant") is the legal title owner of the property generally located at 120 North Oak Street, and legally described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference ("Subject Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Applicant has applied for a special use permit in a Health Services District for a helistop on top of the existing parking garage at the Subject Property as allowed pursuant to Subsection 7-105G of the Hinsdale Zoning Code; and

WHEREAS, the Hinsdale Plan Commission conducted a public hearing and deliberated on the Application on December 9, 2009 and January 13, 2010, pursuant to notice thereof properly published in the Hinsdalean on November 19, 2009, and, after considering all of the testimony and evidence presented at the public hearing, the Plan Commission by a vote of six (6) in favor, one (1) against, and one (1) abstention, recommended approval of the Application subject to numerous conditions and recommendations, all as set forth in the Plan Commission's Findings and Recommendations for PC Case No. A-32-2009; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning and Public Safety Committee of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, at a public meeting on January 25, 2010, considered the Application and the Findings and Recommendation of the Plan Commission and made its recommendation to the Board of Trustees; and

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale have reviewed the recommendation of the Zoning and Public Safety Committee, the Findings and Recommendation of the Plan Commission, and all of the materials, facts, and circumstances related to the Application, and they find that the Application satisfies the standards set forth in Section 11-602 of the Zoning Code relating to special use permits, but only subject to the conditions set forth in this Ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, DuPage and Cook Counties and State of Illinois, as follows:
Section 1. Recitals. The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this Ordinance by this reference as findings of the President and Board of Trustees.

Section 2. Approval of a Special Use Permit for a Helistop. The Board of Trustees, acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by the laws of the State of Illinois and by Sections 11-602 and 11-603 of the Hinsdale Zoning Code, approves a special use permit authorizing a helistop for the Subject Property. The approval granted in this Section 2 is subject to the conditions set forth in Section 3 of this Ordinance.

Section 3. Conditions on Approvals. The approval granted in Sections 2 of this Ordinance is granted expressly subject to all of the following conditions:

A. No Authorization of Work. This Ordinance does not authorize the commencement of any work on the Subject Property. Except as otherwise specifically provided in writing in advance by the Village, no work of any kind shall be commenced on the Subject Property until all conditions of this Ordinance precedent to such work have been fulfilled and after all permits, approvals, and other authorizations for such work have been properly applied for, paid for, and granted in accordance with applicable law.

B. Compliance with Codes, Ordinances, and Regulations. Except as specifically set forth in this Ordinance, the provisions of the Hinsdale Municipal Code and the Hinsdale Zoning Code shall apply and govern the development of the Subject Property. All such development shall comply with all Village codes, ordinances, and regulations at all times.

C. Compliance with Approved Plans. All development within the Subject Property shall be undertaken only in strict compliance with the Village-approved planned development plans, including without limitation the Approved Site Plans, the Approved Exterior Appearance Plans, and other Village-approved plans.

D. Building Permits. The Applicant shall submit all required building permit applications and other materials in a timely manner to the appropriate parties, which materials shall be prepared in compliance with all applicable Village codes and ordinances.

E. Execution of Ordinance. This Ordinance shall be executed by the Applicant to signify its agreement to the terms hereof.

F. Special Use Permit Subject to Revocation. The special use permit granted herein shall be subject to unilateral revocation by the Village during the second (2nd) year after the adoption of this Ordinance and every four (4) years thereafter if the Board of Trustees elects to initiate this revocation
process and then determines, after a public hearing before the Plan
Commission, that the Applicant, or any successor entity, through its
operation of the helistop authorized pursuant to this Ordinance has not
satisfied the standards for special use permits set forth in Subsection 11-
602E of the Hinsdale Zoning Code, as amended, and/or has not continued to
comply with the helistop criteria set forth in Section 12-206 of the Hinsdale
Zoning Code, as amended.

Section 4. Violation of Condition or Code. Notwithstanding any other
provision in this Ordinance to the contrary, any violation of (i) any term or condition
stated in this Ordinance or (ii) any applicable code, ordinance, or regulation of the
Village shall be grounds for the immediate rescission by the Board of Trustees of
the approvals made in this Ordinance.

Section 5. Severability and Repeal of Inconsistent Ordinances. If any
section, paragraph, clause or provision of this Ordinance shall be held invalid, the
invalidity of such section, paragraph, clause or provision shall not affect any of the
other provisions of this Ordinance, and all ordinances, resolutions or orders, or
parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this Ordinance are to the extent of
such conflict hereby repealed.

Section 6. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect
from and after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form in the
manner provided by law.

PASSED this ____ day of ___________ 2010.

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this ____ day of ___________ 2010.

Thomas K. Cauley, Jr., Village President

ATTEST:

Christine M. Bruton, Deputy Village Clerk
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND AGREEMENT BY THE APPLICANT TO THE CONDITIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE:

__________________________
By:
Its:

Dated: _________________, 2010
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EXHIBIT A

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

LOTS 1 TO 7 INCLUSIVE IN BLOCK 8 IN ALFRED WALKER'S ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF HINSDALE IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, IN DU PAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS. ALSO, LOTS 1 TO 19 INCLUSIVE, TOGETHER WITH ALL OF THE VACATED STREETS AND ALLEYS BETWEEN AND ADJOINING SAID LOTS IN KIMBALL HEIR'S SUBDIVISION OF LOTS 1, 2, 3, 4 AND 5 OF BLOCK 9 IN ALFRED WALKER'S ADDITION TO HINSDALE IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, IN DU PAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.
Proposed Hinsdale Hospital Health Services District

Site Plan

Patient Pavilion

Adventist Hinsdale Hospital

Hinsdale Hospital
Health Services District
13.61 acres 592,852 sf
VILLAGE OF HINSDALE

ORDINANCE NO. _________

AN ORDINANCE APPROVING A MAJOR ADJUSTMENT TO A PLANNED DEVELOPMENT, AND SITE PLANS AND EXTERIOR APPEARANCE PLANS FOR THE EXPANSION OF THE EXISTING HOSPITAL LOCATED AT 120 NORTH OAK STREET
(Plan Commission Case No. A-32-2009)

WHEREAS, Adventist Hinsdale Hospital ("Applicant") is the legal title owner of the existing hospital property generally located at 120 North Oak Street, and legally described in Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference ("Subject Property"); and

WHEREAS, the Applicant has applied for a major adjustment to a planned development to allow for the construction of a new four-story patient pavilion at the Subject Property, including a new lobby and the relocation of pre-admission, testing, surgical waiting, admitting and chapel facilities ("Application"); and

WHEREAS, as part of its Application, the Applicant has also applied for site plan and exterior appearance approval for the four-story addition referenced above and the construction of the helistop on top of the existing parking garage at the Subject Property; and

WHEREAS, portions of the newly proposed addition would have a front yard setback of 17'-5" along the Oak Street frontage requiring a waiver to allow the proposed setback in lieu of the 35'-0" setback required by Subsection 7-110C1(a) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code; and

WHEREAS, the Hinsdale Plan Commission conducted a public hearing and deliberated on the Application on December 9, 2009 and January 13, 2010, pursuant to notice thereof properly published in the Hinsdalean on November 19, 2009, and, after considering all of the testimony and evidence presented at the public hearing, the Plan Commission by a vote of seven (7) in favor, none (0) against, and one (1) abstention, recommended approval of the major adjustment to a planned development and exterior appearance and site plan approval, subject to numerous conditions and recommendations, all as set forth in the Plan Commission’s Findings and Recommendations for PC Case No. A-32-2009; and
WHEREAS, the Zoning and Public Safety Committee of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, at a public meeting on January 25, 2010, considered the Application and the Findings and Recommendation of the Plan Commission and made its recommendation to the Board of Trustees; and

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale have reviewed the recommendation of the Zoning and Public Safety Committee, the Findings and Recommendation of the Plan Commission, and all of the materials, facts, and circumstances related to the Application, and they find that the Application satisfies the standards set forth in the Hinsdale Zoning Code relating to the requested major adjustment to a planned development pursuant to Subsection 11-603K2 of the Hinsdale Zoning Code and site plan and exterior appearance approval pursuant to Sections 11-604 and 11-606 of the Hinsdale Zoning Code, but only subject to the conditions set forth in this Ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, DuPage and Cook Counties and State of Illinois, as follows:

Section 1. Recitals. The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this Ordinance by this reference as findings of the President and Board of Trustees.

Section 2. Approval of a Major Adjustment to the Planned Development. Pursuant to the authority of Subsection 11-603K2 of the Hinsdale Zoning Code, the Board of Trustees approves the major adjustment to the approved planned development for the Subject Property with the following waiver: a front yard setback of 17'-5” along the Oak Street frontage is approved in lieu of the 35'-0” setback required by Subsection 7-110C1(a) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code. The planned development is hereby amended to the extent provided, but only to the extent provided, by the approval granted herein. The approval granted in this Section 2 is subject to the conditions set forth in Section 4 of this Ordinance.

Section 3. Approval of Site Plans and Exterior Appearance Plans. The Board of Trustees, acting pursuant to the authority vested in it by laws of the State of Illinois and Sections 11-604 and 11-606 of the Hinsdale Zoning Code, approves the site plans and exterior appearance plans attached to and, by this reference, incorporated into this Ordinance as Exhibit B (“Approved Plans”), subject to the conditions set forth in Section 5 of this Ordinance.

Section 4. Conditions on Approval. The approvals granted in Sections 2-4 of this Ordinance are subject to the following conditions:

A. No Authorization of Work. This Ordinance does not authorize the commencement of any work on the Subject Property. Except as otherwise specifically provided in writing in advance by the Village, no work of any kind
shall be commenced on the Subject Property until all conditions of this
Ordinance precedent to such work have been fulfilled and after all permits,
approvals, and other authorizations for such work have been properly applied
for, paid for, and granted in accordance with applicable law.

B. Compliance with Codes, Ordinances, and Regulations. Except as
specifically set forth in this Ordinance, the provisions of the Hinsdale
Municipal Code and the Hinsdale Zoning Code shall apply and govern the
development of the Subject Property. All such development shall comply
with all Village codes, ordinances, and regulations at all times.

C. Compliance with Approved Plans. All development within the Subject
Property shall be undertaken only in strict compliance with the Village-
approved planned development plans, including without limitation the
Approved Site Plans, the Approved Exterior Appearance Plans, and other
Village-approved plans.

D. Building Permits. The Applicant shall submit all required building
permit applications and other materials in a timely manner to the
appropriate parties, which materials shall be prepared in compliance with all
applicable Village codes and ordinances.

E. Waiver of Front Yard Setback. A front yard setback of 17'-5" along the
Oak Street frontage is approved in lieu of the 35'-0" setback required by
Subsection 7-110C1(a) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code.

F. Execution of Ordinance. This Ordinance shall be executed by the
Applicant to signify its agreement to the terms hereof.

Section 5. Violation of Condition or Code. Any violation of any term or
condition stated in this Ordinance or of any applicable code, ordinance, or
regulation of the Village shall be grounds for rescission by the Board of Trustees of
the approvals set forth in this Ordinance.

Section 6. Severability and Repeal of Inconsistent Ordinances. If any
section, paragraph, clause or provision of this Ordinance shall be held invalid, the
invalidity of such section, paragraph, clause or provision shall not affect any of the
other provisions of this Ordinance, and all ordinances, resolutions or orders, or
parts thereof, in conflict with the provisions of this Ordinance are to the extent of
such conflict hereby repealed.
Section 7. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form in the manner provided by law.

PASSED this ______ day of ___________ 2010.

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this ______ day of ___________ 2010.

_______________________________
Thomas K. Cauley, Jr., Village President

ATTEST:

_______________________________
Christine M. Bruton, Deputy Village Clerk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND AGREEMENT BY THE APPLICANT TO THE CONDITIONS OF THIS ORDINANCE:

_______________________________
By:

Its:

Dated: _________________, 2010
EXHIBIT A

LEGAL DESCRIPTION

LOTS 1 TO 7 INCLUSIVE IN BLOCK 8 IN ALFRED WALKERS ADDITION TO
THE TOWN OF HINSDALE IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1,
TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL
MERIDIAN, IN DU PAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS. ALSO, LOTS 1 TO 19
INCLUSIVE, TOGETHER WITH ALL OF THE VACATED STREETS AND
ALLEYS BETWEEN AND ADJOINING SAID LOTS IN KIMBALL HEIR’S
SUBDIVISION OF LOTS 1, 2, 3, 4 AND 5 OF BLOCK 9 IN ALFRED WALKER’S
ADDITION TO HINSDALE IN THE SOUTHEAST QUARTER OF SECTION 1,
TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 11 EAST OF THE THIRD PRINCIPAL
MERIDIAN, IN DU PAGE COUNTY, ILLINOIS.
Proposed Hinsdale Hospital Health Services District

Site Plan

Hinsdale Hospital
Health Services District
13.61 acres 592,852 sf

Patient Pavilion
Adventist Hinsdale Hospital
Pylon Sign Elevation

Back-Lit "U" Channel Stainless Steel Sign (7" High Letters) - 6 sq.ft.

Back-Lit "U" Channel Stainless Steel Sign (3'-0"H x 3'-0"W) - 6 sq.ft.

Patient Pavilion
Adventist Hinsdale Hospital
© Anderson Mikos Architects, Ltd. 2009
AGENDA  
ZONING & PUBLIC SAFETY 
SECTION

Ordinance amending 3-110 I(5) Specified 
ITEM structures and uses in required yards.

ORIGINATING 
DEPARTMENT
Community Development

APPROVED
Robert McGinnis
Building Commissioner

The Applicant, Steven Cochlan, submitted an application to amend Sections 3-110 I(5) of the Zoning Code and 9-6-6 of the Village Municipal Code, to allow generators as permitted encroachments in the interior and corner side yards, in the R-1, R-2, R-3 and R-4 Single Family Residential Districts. Currently the Village’s Municipal Code specifically prohibits air conditioners or generators to encroach into an interior or corner side yard. The Zoning Code currently contains no specific regulations regarding generators encroaching into required yards, however the applicant(s) would like to add an additional allowance in Section 3-110I(5)(Specified structures and uses in required yards) to allow generators as permitted encroachments in the interior and corner side yard, as it relates to the R-1, R-2, R-3 and R-4, Single Family Zoning Districts.

The Village of Hinsdale Plan Commission, by a vote of five (5) “Ayes”, three (3) “Nays”, and one (1) “Absent” recommended to the President and Board of Trustees that the Hinsdale Zoning Code be amended taking the following concerns into consideration:

- One year amnesty for existing, non-conforming generators
- Screening of the proposed generators
- Maximum size of a proposed generator
- Permitted decibel levels
- Degree of encroachment (including a minimum side yard), and;
- A sign-off from the neighbor(s) directly affected by the proposed generator

Based on this information, staff is seeking a motion to adopt an ordinance amending both the Municipal Code and the Zoning Code to list generators as a permitted encroachment in the required interior and corner side yard in the R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4 Single Family Zoning Districts. If the Committee concurs with staff’s recommendation, the following motion would be appropriate:

Motion: To recommend to the Board of Trustees adoption of an Ordinance amending 3-110 I(5) of the Zoning Code and 9-6-6 of the Municipal Code to list generators as a permitted encroachment in the interior and corner side yard in the R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4 Single Family Zoning Districts.

STAFF APPROVALS

APPROVAL
APPROVAL
APPROVAL
MANAGER'S
APPROVAL

COMMITTEE ACTION: At its January 25, 2010 meeting, the Zoning & Public Safety Committee moved to approve the above motion 2-1 listing generators as permitted encroachments in the interior and corner side yard in the R-1, R-2, R-3, and R-4 Single family Zoning Districts.

BOARD ACTION:
VILLAGE OF HINSDALE

ORDINANCE NO. ____________________________

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTION 9-6-6 OF THE
VILLAGE CODE OF HINSDALE AND ARTICLE IX (DISTRICT
REGULATIONS AND ARTICLE III (SINGLE FAMILY
RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS), SECTION 8-110 OF THE HINSDALE
ZONING CODE TO PERMIT GENERATORS IN REQUIRED
INTERIOR AND CORNER SIDE YARDS IN
SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICTS
(Plan Commission Case No. A-26-2009)

WHEREAS, the Applicant, Steven J. Cochlan (“Applicant”), seeks a text
amendment to the Village of Hinsdale’s (“Village”) Zoning Code by amending
Section 3-110 (“Bulk Space and Yard Requirements”), Subsection I (“Exceptions and
Explanatory Notes”), Subsection 5 (“Specified Structures and Uses in Required
Yards”) of the Zoning Code by adding generators as a structure that may be located
in interior and corner side yards in single-family residential districts (“the
Application”); and

WHEREAS, the Hinsdale Plan Commission conducted a public hearing to
consider the Application on December 9, 2009, pursuant to notice thereof properly
published in the Hinsdalean and, after considering all of the testimony and
evidence presented at the public hearing, the Plan Commission recommended
approval of the Application subject to numerous conditions and recommendations,
all as set forth in the Plan Commission’s Findings and Recommendations for Plan
Commission Case No. A-26-2009; and

WHEREAS, the Zoning and Public Safety Committee of the Board of
Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, at a public meeting on January 25, 2010,
considered the Application and the Findings and Recommendation of the Plan
Commission and made its recommendation to the Board of Trustees; and

WHEREAS, the President and Board of Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale
have considered the Findings and Recommendation of the Plan Commission and all
of the facts and circumstances affecting the Application, and the President and
Board of Trustees have determined that it is appropriate to amend the Hinsdale
Zoning Code as provided in this Ordinance.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the President and Board of
Trustees of the Village of Hinsdale, DuPage and Cook Counties and State of Illinois,
as follows:
Section 1. Recitals. The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this Ordinance as findings of the President and Board of Trustees.

Section 2. Amendment of Section 9-6-6 of the Village Code. Title 9 (Building Regulations), Chapter 6 (Electrical Code) of the Village Code of Hinsdale is hereby amended by deleting the overstricken language to read as follows:

9-6-6: AIR CONDITIONERS AND GENERATORS (RESIDENTIAL):

A. Air conditioning condensers and any permanently installed generator may not be located within any required interior side yard, corner side yard, required front yard, or within ten feet (10') of the rear lot line. Exception: A one-for-one one-for-one replacement may be located in a required yard, but only if located in the same location as the existing unit.

Section 3. Amendment of Section 9-106 of the Zoning Code. Article III (Single Family Residential Districts), Section 3-110 (Bulk, Space and Yard Requirements), Subsection I (Exceptions and Explanatory Notes), Subsection 5 (Specified Structures and Uses in Required Yards) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code is hereby amended by adding the underlined language to read as follows:

Sec. 3-110. Bulk, Space, And Yard Requirements:
The building height, lot, yard, floor area ratio, and coverage requirements applicable in the single-family residential districts are set forth in the following table. Footnote references appear in subsection I of this section at the end of the table.

*I* *I* *I* *I*

I. Exceptions and explanatory notes:

* * * * *

5. Specified Structures And Uses In Required Yards: The following structures and uses, except as limited below, may be located in any required yard:

* * * * *

(a) Generators located in side yards at least three feet (3') from the lot line and no further than five feet (5') from the exterior wall of the
principle structure. Generators may not be operated so as to exceed seventy (70) decibels at seven (7) meters, may not be exercised during the hours of 11:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m., and may not be otherwise operated so as to create a nuisance. Generators must be screened with a solid fence or densely planted evergreens.

Section 4. Severability and Repeal of Inconsistent Ordinances. If any section, paragraph, clause or provision of this Ordinance shall be held invalid, the invalidity thereof shall not affect any of the other provisions of this Ordinance. All ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

Section 5. Effective Date. This Ordinance shall be in full force and effect after its passage, approval, and publication in pamphlet form in the manner provided by law.

PASSED this ____ day of _____________ 2010.

AYES:

NAYS:

ABSENT:

APPROVED this ____ day of _____________ 2010.

__________________________
Thomas K. Cauley, Jr., Village President

ATTEST:

__________________________
Christine M. Bruton, Deputy Village Clerk
RE: Case A-26-2009 - Applicant: Steve Cochlan - Request: Text Amendment to Section 3-110I(5) (Specified structures and uses in required yards) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code to allow generators as permitted encroachments in the interior and corner side yards, in the R-1, R-2, R-3 and R-4 Single Family Residential Districts.

DATE OF PLAN COMMISSION REVIEW: December 9, 2009

DATE OF COMMITTEE REVIEW: January 25, 2010

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

I. FINDINGS

1. The Applicant, Steve Cochlan, submitted an application to amend Section 3-110I(5) (Specified structures and uses in required yards) of the Hinsdale Zoning Code to allow generators as permitted encroachments in the interior and corner side yards, in the R-1, R-2, R-3 and R-4 Single Family Residential Districts.

2. The Plan Commission heard presentations from the applicant at the Plan Commission meeting of December 9, 2009.

3. The Plan Commission expressed concerns with how to govern the size, including the physical dimensions, and sound of proposed generators.

4. The Plan Commission also expressed concerns about how far the generators could encroach into the side yard and that there needs to be a minimum side yard into which generators of any size can be installed.

5. As a result of comments and concerns of the Commissioners, as well as discussions conducted, the Plan Commission offered recommendations and revisions to the proposed amendment.

6. The Plan Commission determined that, while the proposed amendment generally met the intent, there were still several items of concern that they felt the Zoning and Public Safety Committee, as well as the Village Board should consider in their discussions, which included the following:

   a. One year amnesty for existing, non-conforming generators
   b. Screening of the proposed generators
   c. Maximum size of a proposed generator
   d. Permitted decibel levels
   e. Degree of encroachment (including a minimum side yard), and;
   f. A sign-off from the neighbor(s) directly affected by the proposed generator
5. The Plan Commission specifically finds that the Application satisfies the standards in Section 11-601 of the Zoning Code applicable to approval of the amendments.

II. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Village of Hinsdale Plan Commission, by a vote of five (5) “Ayes”, three (3) “Nays”, and one (1) “Absent” recommends to the President and Board of Trustees that the Hinsdale Zoning Code be amended taking the following concerns into consideration:

a. One year amnesty for existing, non-conforming generators
b. Screening of the proposed generator
c. Maximum size of a proposed generator
d. Permitted decibel levels
e. Degree of encroachment (including a minimum side yard), and;
f. A sign-off from the neighbor(s) directly affected by the proposed generator

THE HINSDALE PLAN COMMISSION

By: [Signature]

Chairman

Dated this [date] day of [month], 2010.
DATE: January 18, 2010
TO: Robert McGinnis, Building Commissioner
FROM: Paul L. Stephanides
RE: Neighbor Approval of the Installation of Generators in Interior or Corner Side Yards

INTRODUCTION:
This memorandum addresses the issue of whether the Village of Hinsdale ("Village") can adopt an ordinance as part of its Zoning Code which allows for neighbor approval of the installation of generators in interior or corner side yards in single-family residential districts.

BACKGROUND:
Village property owner Steven Cochlan has applied for a text amendment to the Hinsdale Zoning Code to allow for generators as permitted encroachments in interior and corner side yards in single-family residential districts. The amendment would be codified as part of Section 3-11015 of the Zoning Code. The Plan Commission recommended that the amendment be approved with the condition that neighboring property owners directly affected by a generator sign off on the installation.

DISCUSSION:
The proposal that neighbors directly affected by the proposed installation of a generator sign off on the installation is an unconstitutional delegation of legislative authority. In the case of Lakin v. City of Peoria, 129 Ill. App. 3d 651, 84 Ill. Dec. 837, 472 N.E.2d 1233 (3rd Dist. 1984), the city adopted a zoning ordinance which provided that property owners “touching, adjoining, or abutting” a proposed two-family dwelling must give their written approval before the dwelling could be constructed. The court held that this was a unconstitutional delegation of legislative authority. The court stated:

In the instant case, section 2-6.2013 leaves the ultimate determination of whether a two-family dwelling will be detrimental to
the public welfare to the whim and caprice of neighboring property owners rather than to a reasoned decision by the city. We hold, therefore, that the consent provision in section 2-6.2013 has no bearing on the public health, safety, or welfare and that it constitutes an invalid delegation of legislative power.

_id., 129 Ill.App.3d at 655, 84 Ill.Dec. At 840, 472 N.E.2d at 1236._

Other courts have noted that an individual’s use of his or her property cannot be limited solely because neighboring property owners do not like the proposed use or fear that it will harm the value of their residences. See _Jeisy v. City of Taylorville_, 81 Ill.App.3d 442, 451, 36 Ill.Dec. 786, 792, 401 N.E.2d 627, 633 (5th Dist. 1980) (re zoning of property to commercial classification cannot be denied due to neighboring property owners’ dislike of living next to commercial establishment or diminution of their property values); _Accord LaSalle National Bank v. County of DuPage_, 54 Ill.App.3d 387, 12 Ill.Dec. 8, 369 N.E.2d 505 (2nd Dist. 1977).

A zoning ordinance requirement that the consent of property owners representing a majority of frontage be obtained before a permit to construct a gasoline station was held invalid in the case of _Clark Oil and Refining Corporation v. Village of Tinley Park_, 110 Ill.App.2d 61, 249 N.E.2d 140 (1st Dist. 1969). As in the _Lakin_ case cited above, the court held in _Clark Oil_ that the consent requirement had no relation to the public interest but only to the private interests of nearby property owners. Thus, it constituted an illegal delegation of legislative authority. The village determined to allow gasoline stations in the particular zoning district at issue, which the court found showed that the Village itself considered the use of property for such purposes consistent with the interests of the community. _Id._, 110 Ill.App.2d at 66, 249 N.E.2d at 142.

CONCLUSION:

If the Village determines that generators should be allowed as permitted encroachments in interior or corner side yards, the case law provides that such a determination shows that the Village has considered the use of residential properties for such a purpose consistent with the interests of the community. A requirement that neighbors sign off on the installation of such generators would be an unconstitutional delegation of legislative authority.